

On ideal invariant convergence of double sequences and some properties

ERDİNÇ DÜNDAR, UĞUR ULUSU and FATİH NURAY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the concepts of invariant convergence, p -strongly invariant convergence $([V_\sigma^2]_p)$, \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergence (\mathcal{I}_2^σ) , \mathcal{I}_2^* -invariant convergence $(\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*})$ of double sequences and investigate the relationships among invariant convergence, $[V_\sigma^2]_p$, \mathcal{I}_2^σ and $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$. Also, we introduce the concepts of \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy double sequence and $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy double sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The idea of \mathcal{I} -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [6] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal \mathcal{I} of subset of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} . \mathcal{I} -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and some properties of this convergence and similar concepts which are noted following can be seen in [2, 4, 7].

A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if

(i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$, (iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $B \subseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

An ideal is called non-trivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Throughout the paper we take \mathcal{I} as an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} .

A family of sets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a filter if and only if

(i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$, (iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $B \supseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

For any ideal there is a filter $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ corresponding with \mathcal{I} , given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I})(M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A)\}.$$

A nontrivial ideal \mathcal{I}_2 of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible ideal if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to \mathcal{I}_2 for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

Throughout the paper we take \mathcal{I}_2 as a strongly admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

$\mathcal{I}_2^0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (\exists m(A) \in \mathbb{N})(i, j \geq m(A) \Rightarrow (i, j) \notin A)\}$. Then \mathcal{I}_2^0 is a strongly admissible ideal and clearly an ideal \mathcal{I}_2 is strongly admissible if and only if $\mathcal{I}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be convergent to $L \in \mathbb{R}$ in Pringsheim's sense if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{kj} - L| < \varepsilon$, whenever $k, j > N_\varepsilon$. In this case, we write $P - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L$ or $\lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be bounded if $\sup_{k,j} x_{kj} < \infty$. The set of all bounded double sequences of sets will be denoted by ℓ_∞^2 .

Received: 05.06.2017. In revised form: 12.01.2018. Accepted: 19.01.2018

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 40A05, 40A35.

Key words and phrases. *double sequence, \mathcal{I} -convergence, invariant convergence, \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequence.*

Corresponding author: Erdinç DüNDAR; edundar@aku.edu.tr

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to $L \in X$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, L) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{mn} = L$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is \mathcal{I}_2^* -convergent to L if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ (i.e., $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2$) such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} x_{kj} = L.$$

In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L$.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is \mathcal{I}_2 -Cauchy sequence if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists (r, s) in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{kj} - x_{rs}| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is \mathcal{I}_2^* -Cauchy if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ ($\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2$) such that,

$$\lim_{k,j,r,s \rightarrow \infty} |x_{kj} - x_{rs}| = 0,$$

for $(k, j), (r, s) \in M_2$.

An admissible ideal $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the property (AP2) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets $\{E_1, E_2, \dots\}$ belonging to \mathcal{I}_2 , there exists a countable family of sets $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$ such that $E_j \Delta F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2^0$, i.e., $E_j \Delta F_j$ is included in the finite union of rows and columns in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ (hence $F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$).

Several authors have studied convergence, invariant convergence and Cauchy sequences (see, [1, 3, 5, 8–10, 12–17]).

Let σ be a mapping of the positive integers into themselves. A continuous linear functional ϕ on ℓ_∞ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if it satisfies following conditions:

- (1) $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,
- (2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and
- (3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$ for all $x \in \ell_\infty$.

The mappings σ are assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m , where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping σ at n . Thus, ϕ extends the limit functional on c , the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$.

In the case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the σ -mean is often called a Banach limit and the space V_σ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences \hat{c} .

It can be shown that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

The concept of strongly σ -convergence was defined by Mursaleen in [8]:

A bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly σ -convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in n . It is denoted by $x_k \rightarrow L[V_\sigma]$.

By $[V_\sigma]$, we denote the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences. In the case $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the space $[V_\sigma]$ is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences $[\hat{c}]$.

The concept of strongly σ -convergence was generalized by Savaş [14] as below:

$$[V_\sigma]_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$. If $p = 1$, then $[V_\sigma]_p = [V_\sigma]$. It is known that $[V_\sigma]_p \subset \ell_\infty$.

Recently, the concepts of σ -uniform density of the set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, \mathcal{I}_σ -convergence and \mathcal{I}_σ^* -convergence of sequences of real numbers were defined by Nuray et al. [12]. Also, the concept of σ -convergence of double sequences was studied by Çakan et al. [1] and the concept of σ -uniform density of $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ was defined by Tortop and Dündar [17].

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_m = \min_n |A \cap \{\sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), \dots, \sigma^m(n)\}|$$

and

$$S_m = \max_n |A \cap \{\sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), \dots, \sigma^m(n)\}|.$$

If the following limits exist

$$\underline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_m}{m}, \quad \overline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_m}{m}$$

then they are called a lower and upper σ -uniform density of the set A , respectively. If $\underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$, then $V(A) = \underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$ is called σ -uniform density of A .

Denote by \mathcal{I}_σ the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $V(A) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_σ -convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ $A_\varepsilon = \{k : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_\sigma$; i.e., $V(A_\varepsilon) = 0$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_\sigma - \lim x_k = L$.

The set of all \mathcal{I}_σ -convergent sequences will be denoted by \mathcal{J}_σ .

Let $\mathcal{I}_\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_σ^* -convergent to the number L if there exists a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_\sigma)$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{m_k} = L$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_\sigma^* - \lim x_k = L$.

A bounded double sequences $x = (x_{kj})$ of real numbers is said to be σ -convergent to a limit L if

$$\lim_{mn} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} = L$$

uniformly in s, t . In this case, we write $\sigma_2 - \lim x = L$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_{mn} := \min_{k,j} |A \cap \{(\sigma(k), \sigma(j)), (\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j)), \dots, (\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j))\}|$$

and

$$S_{mn} := \max_{k,j} |A \cap \{(\sigma(k), \sigma(j)), (\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j)), \dots, (\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j))\}|.$$

If the following limits exists

$$\underline{V}_2(A) := \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{mn}}{mn}, \quad \overline{V}_2(A) := \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}$$

then they are called a lower and an upper σ -uniform density of the set A , respectively. If $\underline{V}_2(A) = \overline{V}_2(A)$, then $V_2(A) = \underline{V}_2(A) = \overline{V}_2(A)$ is called the σ -uniform density of A .

Denote by \mathcal{I}_2^σ the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $V_2(A) = 0$.

Throughout the paper we let $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal

2. \mathcal{I}_2 -INVARIANT CONVERGENCE

In this section, we introduce the concepts of strongly invariant convergence $([V_\sigma^2])$, p -strongly invariant convergence $([V_\sigma^2]_p)$, \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergence (\mathcal{I}_2^σ) of double sequences and investigate the relationships among invariant convergence, $[V_\sigma^2]_p$ and \mathcal{I}_2^σ .

Definition 2.1. A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent or \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(k, j) : |x_{kj} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$$

that is, $V_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim x = L$ or $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$.

The set of all \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by \mathfrak{I}_2^σ .

Theorem 2.1. If $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim x_{kj} = L_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim y_{kj} = L_2$, then

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim(x_{kj} + y_{kj}) = L_1 + L_2$
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim \alpha x_{kj} = \alpha L_1$ (α is a constant).

Proof. The proof is clear so we omit it. □

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $x = (x_{kj})$ is a bounded double sequence. If $x = (x_{kj})$ is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L , then $x = (x_{kj})$ is invariant convergent to L .

Proof. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon > 0$. We estimate

$$u(m, n, s, t) = \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L \right|.$$

Then, we have

$$u(m, n, s, t) \leq u^1(m, n, s, t) + u^2(m, n, s, t)$$

where

$$u^1(m, n, s, t) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L|$$

and

$$u^2(m, n, s, t) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| < \varepsilon}}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$u^2(m, n, s, t) < \varepsilon,$$

for every $s, t = 1, 2, \dots$. The boundedness of (x_{kj}) implies that there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| \leq K, \quad (k, j, s, t = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u^1(m, n, s, t) &\leq \frac{K}{mn} \left| \{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ &\leq K \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right|}{mn} \\ &= K \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (x_{kj}) is invariant convergent to L . □

The converse of Theorem 2.2 does not hold. For example, $x = (x_{kj})$ is the double sequence defined by following;

$$x_{kj} := \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } k+j \text{ is even integer,} \\ 0 & , \text{ if } k+j \text{ is odd integer.} \end{cases}$$

When $\sigma(s) = s + 1$ and $\sigma(t) = t + 1$, this sequence is invariant convergent to $\frac{1}{2}$ but it is not \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent.

In [12], Nuray et al. gave some inclusion relations between $[V_\sigma]_p$ -convergence and \mathcal{I} -invariant convergence, and showed that these are equivalent for bounded sequences. Now, we shall give analogous theorems which states inclusion relations between $[V_2^\sigma]_p$ -convergence and \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergence, and show that these are equivalent for bounded double sequences.

Definition 2.2. A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be strongly invariant convergent to L , if

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t . In this case, we write $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2])$.

Definition 2.3. A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be p -strongly invariant convergent to L , if

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^j(t)} - L|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t , where $0 < p < \infty$. In this case, we write $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2]_p)$.

The set of all p -strongly invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by $[V_\sigma^2]_p$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $0 < p < \infty$.

- (i) If $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2]_p)$, then $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$.
- (ii) If $(x_{kj}) \in \ell_\infty^2$ and $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$, then $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2]_p)$.
- (iii) If $(x_{kj}) \in \ell_\infty^2$, then $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ if and only if $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2]_p)$.

Proof. (i) : Assume that $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_\sigma^2]_p)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p &\geq \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p |\{k \leq m, j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\geq \varepsilon^p \max_{s,t} |\{k \leq m, j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p &\geq \varepsilon^p \frac{\max_{s,t} |\{k \leq m, j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{mn} \\ &= \varepsilon^p \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} \end{aligned}$$

for every $s, t = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} = 0$$

and so (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L .

(ii) : Suppose that $(x_{kj}) \in \ell_\infty^2$ and $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption we have $V_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$. Since (x_{kj}) is bounded, (x_{kj}) implies that there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \leq K,$$

for all k, j, s, t . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| < \varepsilon}}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p \\ &\leq K \frac{\max_{s,t} |\{k \leq m, j \leq n : |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{mn} + \varepsilon^p \\ &\leq K \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} + \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t .

(iii) : This is immediate consequence of (i) and (ii). □

Now, we introduce \mathcal{I}_2^* -invariant convergence concept, \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant Cauchy double sequence and \mathcal{I}_2^* -invariant Cauchy double sequence concepts and give the relationships among these concepts and relationships with \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergence concept.

Definition 2.4. A double sequence (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^* -invariant convergent or $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -convergent to L if and only if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ ($\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$) such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} x_{kj} = L.$$

In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*} - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L$ or $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*})$.

Theorem 2.4. If a double sequence (x_{kj}) is $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -convergent to L , then this sequence is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*} - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L$, there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ ($\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$) such that

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} x_{kj} = L.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_{kj} - L| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $(k, j) \in M_2$ and $k, j \geq k_0$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon) &= \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{kj} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &\subset H \cup \left(M_2 \cap \left((\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ is a strongly admissible ideal,

$$H \cup \left(M_2 \cap \left((\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right) \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma,$$

so we have $T(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$ that is $V_2(T(\varepsilon)) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} x_{kj} = L.$$

□

Theorem 2.5. Let \mathcal{I}_2^σ has property (AP2). If (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L , then (x_{kj}) is $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -convergent to L .

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{I}_2^σ satisfies property (AP2). Let (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent to L . Then,

$$T(\varepsilon) = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{kj} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \quad (2.1)$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Put

$$T_1 = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{kj} - L| \geq 1\}$$

and

$$T_v = \left\{ (k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{v} \leq |x_{kj} - L| < \frac{1}{v-1} \right\}$$

for $v \geq 2$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By property (AP2) there exists a sequence of sets $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_i \Delta E_i$ is included in finite union of rows and columns in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for each i and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$.

We shall prove that for $M_2 = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus E$ we have

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} x_{kj} = L.$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be given. Choose $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{v} < \eta$. Then,

$$\{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{kj} - L| \geq \eta\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^v T_i.$$

Since $T_i \Delta E_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ are included in finite union of rows and columns, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^v T_i\right) \cap \{(k, j) : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^v E_i\right) \cap \{(k, j) : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\}. \quad (2.2)$$

If $k, j > n_0$ and $(k, j) \notin E$, then

$$(k, j) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^v E_i \quad \text{and} \quad (k, j) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^v T_i.$$

This implies that

$$|x_{kj} - L| < \frac{1}{v} < \eta.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{k, j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k, j) \in M_2}} x_{kj} = L.$$

□

Finally, we define the concepts of \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy and $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy double sequences.

Definition 2.5. A double sequence (x_{kj}) is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant Cauchy or \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy sequence, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist numbers $r = r(\varepsilon), s = s(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(k, j) : |x_{kj} - x_{rs}| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma,$$

that is, $V_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$.

Definition 2.6. A double sequence (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^* -invariant Cauchy or $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy sequence if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ (i.e., $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$) such that for every $(k, j), (r, s) \in M_2$

$$\lim_{k, j, r, s \rightarrow \infty} |x_{kj} - x_{rs}| = 0.$$

We give following theorems which show relationships between \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergence, \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy double sequence and $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy double sequence. The proof of them are similar to the proof of Theorems in [3, 4, 11], so we omit them.

Theorem 2.6. *If a double sequence (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -convergent, then (x_{kj}) is an \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy double sequence.*

Theorem 2.7. *If a double sequence (x_{kj}) is $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy double sequence, then (x_{kj}) is \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy double sequence.*

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathcal{I}_2^σ has property (AP2). Then, the concepts \mathcal{I}_2^σ -Cauchy double sequence and $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma*}$ -Cauchy double sequence coincides.*

Acknowledgements. This study supported by Afyon Kocatepe University Scientific Research Coordination Unit with the project number 17.KARYER.20.

REFERENCES

- [1] Çakan, C., Altay, B. and Mursaleen, M., *The σ -convergence and σ -core of double sequences*, Appl. Math. Lett., **19** (2006), 1122–1128
- [2] Das, P., Kostyrko, P., Wilczyński, W. and Malik, P. *\mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, **58** (2008), No. 5, 605–620
- [3] Dems, K., *On \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequences*, Real Anal. Exchange, **30** (2004/2005), 123–128
- [4] Dündar, E. and Altay, B., *\mathcal{I}_2 -convergence and \mathcal{I}_2 -Cauchy of double sequences*, Acta Math. Sci., **34** (2014), No. B(2), 343–353
- [5] Gürdal, M., *Some types of convergence*, Doctoral Dissertation, S. Demirel Univ., Isparta, 2004
- [6] Kostyrko, P., Šalát, T. and Wilczyński, W., *\mathcal{I} -Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26** (2000), No. 2, 669–686
- [7] Kumar, V., *On \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun., **12** (2007), 171–181
- [8] Mursaleen, M., *Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math., **9** (1983), 505–509
- [9] Mursaleen, M., *On finite matrices and invariant means*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (1979), 457–460
- [10] Mursaleen, M. and Edely, O. H. H., *On the invariant mean and statistical convergence*, Appl. Math. Lett., **22** (2009), No. 11, 1700–1704
- [11] Nabiev, A., Pehlivan, S. and Gürdal, M., *On \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequences*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11** (2007), No. 2, 569–576
- [12] Nuray, F., Gök, H. and Ulusu, U., *\mathcal{I}_σ -convergence*, Math. Commun., **16** (2011), 531–538
- [13] Raimi, R. A., *Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability*, Duke Math. J., **30** (1963), No. 1, 81–94
- [14] Savaş, E., *Some sequence spaces involving invariant means*, Indian J. Math., **31** (1989), 1–8
- [15] Savaş, E., *Strongly σ -convergent sequences*, Bull. Calcutta Math., **81** (1989), 295–300
- [16] Schaefer, P., *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **36** (1972), 104–110
- [17] Tortop, Ş and Dündar, E., *Wijsman \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergence of double sequences of sets*, (submitted for publication).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY

AFYONKARAHISAR, 03200, TURKEY

E-mail address: edundar@aku.edu.tr, ulusu@aku.edu.tr, fnuray@aku.edu.tr