Kocatepe Veterinary Journal

Kocatepe Vet J. (2022) 15(2):194-199 DOI: 10.30607/kvj. 1068222

Streptococcus minor; Can There Be A Potential Pathogenic Bacterial Agent In Dog Bites?

Streptococcus minor in dog bites

Hafize Tuğba YÜKSEL DOLGUN1*, Şükrü KIRKAN1

¹ Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Microbiology, Aydın, Turkey.

ABSTRACT

Dogs and humans are in constant interaction which can be in the form of close friendship, or sometimes an attack by dogs on people. Dog bite cases are common in the world and *Streptococcus* species are often isolated from these cases and most frequently isolated species is *Streptococcus canis*. *Streptococcus minor* which was described in 2004 has been isolated in dog bite cases. This research was aimed to reveal the presence of *S. minor* in canine oral flora. In this study, 19 Gram-positive cocci were isolated from 50 dog oral swab samples. Of 19 isolates, 17 isolates were catalase-negative and were typed genotypically by PCR and sequencing. Eight isolates were identified as *S. minor*. *S. minor* isolates were found to be resistant to tetracycline at a rate of 75% and susceptible to other antibiotics at various rates. Trimethoprim resistance gene was detected in one *S. minor* isolate and tetracycline resistance gene was found in one *S. minor* isolate and tetracycline resistance gene was found in one *S. minor* isolate and tetracycline resistance gene was found in one *s. minor* isolate. The results of this research, it has been shown that *S. minor* can be isolated from dogs oral flora and it can appear as a potential bacterial pathogen in dog bite cases.

Keywords: Antibacterial Drug Resistance, Dogs, Molecular Sequencing Data, Streptococcus.

Streptococcus Minor; Köpek Isırıklarında Potansiyel Patojenik Bakteriyel Etken Olabilir Mi?

Köpek ısırıklarında Streptococcus minor

ÖΖ

Köpekler ve insanlar, yakın arkadaşlık veya bazen köpeklerin insanlara saldırması şeklinde olabilen sürekli bir etkileşim halindedir. Köpek ısırık vakaları dünyada sık görülmektedir. Bu vakalardan sıklıkla *Streptococcus* türleri izole edilir ve en sık izole edilen tür *Streptococcus canis*'tir. 2004 yılında tanımlanan *Streptococcus minor* köpek ısırması vakalarında izole edilmiştir. Bu araştırmada köpek ağız florasında *S. minor* varlığının ortaya konulması amaçlanmıştır. Bu çalışmada, 50 köpek oral svap örneğinden 19 Gram pozitif kok izole edilmiştir. Ondokuz izolattan 17'si katalaz negatif olduğu belirlenmiş ve PCR ve dizileme ile genotipik olarak tiplendirilmiştir. Sekiz izolat *S. minor* olarak tanımlandı. *S. minor* izolatlarının tetrasikline %75 oranında dirençli ve diğer antibiyotiklere çeşitli oranlarda duyarlı olduğu bulunmuştur. Bir *S. minor* izolatında trimetoprim direnç geni, bir *S. minor* izolatında ise tetrasiklin direnç geni saptanmıştır. Bu araştırma sonucunda *S. minor*'un köpeklerin ağız florasından izole edilebileceği ve köpek ısırık vakalarında potansiyel bir bakteriyel patojen olarak ortaya çıkabileceği gösterilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Antibakteriyel İlaç Direnci, Köpekler, Moleküler Dizi Verileri, Streptococcus.

To cite this article: Yüksel Dolgun H.T. Kırkan Ş. Streptococcus minor; Can There Be A Potential Pathogenic Bacterial Agent In Dog Bites. Streptococcus minor in dog bites. Kocatepe Vet J. (2022) 15(2):194-199

Submission: 04.02.2022
 Accepted: 19.04.2022
 Published Online: 17.05.2022

 ORCID ID; HTYD: 0000-0002-1125-5792, \$K: 0000-0001-5111-8656
 *Corresponding author e-mail: tugba.yuksel@adu.edu.tr

INTRODUCTION

Living with animals enrich the lives of humans and including pets in daily routines ensure social interaction, exercise, emotional supports and social connectedness. Dogs are the closest friends of people, they live in the same home environment with people and they feed on foods of animal origin (Bata et al. 2020). Researches have focused on the subject of microbiota and especially focused on the microbiota of the gastrointestinal tract. Studies have shown that the gastrointestinal microbiota is closely related to the oral cavity microbiota (Zarco et al. 2012). The normal oral flora of dogs contains a large number microorganisms which of includes Porphyromonas, Fusobacterium, Streptococcus, Capnocytophaga genera and members of the Pasteurellaceae and Neisseriaceae families (Sturgeon et al. 2013, Oh et al. 2015, Isaiah et al. 2017, Bell et al. 2020, Ruparell et al. 2020). Some of these microorganisms can form a basic health barrier together with the immune system (Marsh 1994), but some of them may be pathogenic, cause periodontitis, dental caries and systemic disease. Dogs' age, food consumption, health status, and environmental factors influence oral microbiome composition. When dogs' health deteriorates, pathogenic oral bacteria can cause systemic infections (Fowler et al. 2001). However, pathogenic bacteria can show zoonotic properties as a result of the contact of dogs with impaired health and sometimes even biting people (Chen et al. 2010). Dog bite cases seen in humans are one of the important health problems in the world. It starts with common wound infections associated with dog bite and can develop into local and systemic infections if left untreated (Tabaka et al. 2015, Goldstein et al. 2018). It is known that 3-18% wounds of dog bites are infected with the dog's oral flora (Tabaka et al. 2015, Damborg et al. 2016) and wound infections are generally an infection involving anaerobic and aerobic bacteria. Streptococcal species are commonly involved in canine bite wounds and infections. Streptococcus canis and Streptococcus pyogenes are the most common pathogens in dog bite cases. However, Streptococcus minor species, which was identified by molecular methods in 2004, has also started to be reported in dog bite cases. Infections caused by S. minor can be overlooked due to the facultative anaerobic nature of the organism and the difficulty of identifying a-hemolytic streptococci at the species level with current laboratory techniques and S. minor does not react with Lancefield groups A, C, D, F or G antisera. S. minor has the potential to be the primary pathogen in dog bites (Vancanneyt et al. 2004, Tre-Hardy et al. 2016).

In this study, it was aimed to reveal the presence of *S*. *minor* species, which has recently gained importance in dog bite cases, in canine oral flora and its antibiotic susceptibility.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample Collection

Samples were taken with cotton swabs from oral cavities of randomly selected 50 dogs. The oral swab samples were transported at +4°C to the microbiology laboratory.

Phenotypic Identification

Each swab sample was plated on 5-7% Columbia Blood Agar. Plates were incubated for 24-48 h at 37°C microaerophilic condition. After the incubation, plates were examined and small, smooth, translucent and alpha-hemolytic colonies were subcultured to the Triyptic Soy Agar to obtain of pure cultures. When pure colonies were obtained, each colony was isolated according to the Gram staining microscopy, and catalase tests. Gram-positive cocci and catalasenegative isolates were determined and were recorded as suspected *Streptococcus sp* (Razali et al. 2020).

Genotypic Identification DNA Extraction

DNA extraction were performed from isolates as *Streptococcus sp.* recommended by the manufacturer using the Genomic DNA Purification Extraction Kit (Thermo Fisher ScientificTM) for use in PCR. The DNA samples were stored in cryotubes at -20° C up to the PCR.

16S rRNA PCR for Streptococcus sp.

For molecular identification of the 16S rRNA genes were amplified using universal primers (27F and 1492R) by SimpliAmp Thermal Cycler Applied Biosystems (Thermo Fisher Scientific[™]). PCR amplicons were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel and were visualized on UV transilluminator (Vilber Lourmat). 16S rRNA gene specific bands at 1450 bp were considered positive (Lane 1991).

Purification and Sequencing of PCR Product

PCR amplicons were purified with enzymatic purification kit for sequencing. Purified PCR products concentrations were prepared ~50ng for sequencing PCR. PCR products were sequenced with 1492R PCR primers (3.2 pmol) using the Big Dye Terminator Ready Reaction Mixv 3.1. Nucleotide sequences were run on an ABI Prism 310 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems). The nucleotide sequences of PCR products was analysed using Standard Nucleotide BLAST® NCBI Genomic Reference Sequences. The results obtained were compared electronically with the NCBI Blast® nucleotide sequences and the percent similarity rates were determined (Turner et al. 1999).

Determination of Antimicrobial Susceptibility

For the determination of antibiotic susceptibility pattern of the *Streptococcus minor* isolates were used the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method (CLSI 2016). Antibiotic discs were used comprising ampicilline (10 μ g), streptomycin (300 μ g), vancomycin (30 μ g), eritromycin (15 μ g), florfenicol (30 μ g), cefotaxime (30 μ g), cefepime (30 μ g), trimethoprim (20 μ g), methicillin (5 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (25 μ g), amoxicillinclavulanic acid (30 μ g), penisilin (10 IU) (Oxoid, Hampshire, England).

Determination of Antibiotic Resistance Genes

For detection of antibiotic resistance genes, PCR protocols were examined by list of references in Table 1. PCR master mix were prepared a total

volume of 25 μ l; including of 5 μ l 10X PCR Buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μ M dNTP's, 0.5 μ M of each primer (F & R), 2U Taq DNA polymerase, 3 μ l template DNA. The amplification conditions were as follow; an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 8 min; by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 60 s, annealing at 55°C for 80 s and elongation at 72°C for 2 min; 1 cycle of final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. (Randall et all. 2002, Toro et al. 2005, Mammeri et al. 2005, Van et al. 2008). PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel and were performed on Vilber Lourmat UV transilluminator. The PCR product bands were evaluated on target gene product size (Table 1).

Table 1. Antibiotic resistance gene primer sequences

Primers	Sequences (5'-3')	Size of Product (bp)	Target gene	References	
aadA1-F	TATCCAGCTAAGCGCGAACT	447	Streptomycin	Randall et al. 2004	
aadA1-R	ATTTGCCGACTACCTTGGTC	447	resistance		
tetA-F	GGTTCACTCGAACGACGTCA	447resistanceRandall et al. 20577Tetracycline resistanceRandall et al. 20634Tetracycline resistanceRandall et al. 20367Trimethoprim resistanceToro et al. 2005670Floroquinolone resistanceMammeri et al.286Gentamicin resistanceVan et al. 2008822Sulfonamide resistanceVan et al. 2008	Randall at al 2004		
tetA-R	CTGTCCGACAAGTTGCATGA	577	resistance	Kandan et al. 2004	
tetB-F	CCTCAGCTTCTCAACGCGTG	634 Randali et al. 2 resistance Randali et al. 2 367 Trimethoprim resistance Floroquinolone Floroquinolone		Pandall at al 2004	
tetB-R	GCACCTTGCTGATGACTCTT	0.54	resistance	Kanuali et al. 2004	
dfrA1-F	GGAGTGCCAAAGGTGAACAGC	447Streptomycin resistanceRandall et al. 2577Tetracycline resistanceRandall et al. 2634Tetracycline resistanceRandall et al. 2634Tetracycline resistanceRandall et al. 2AC367Trimethoprim resistanceToro et al. 200G670Floroquinolone resistanceMammeri et al286Gentamicin resistanceVan et al. 2008822Sulfonamide resistanceVan et al. 2008634Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008634Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008635Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008636Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008637Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008638Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008639Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 2008	Torro at al 2005		
dfrA1-R	GAGGCGAAGTCTTGGGTAAAAAC	307	resistance	1010 et al. 2005	
Qnr-F	GGGTATGGATATTATTGATAAAG	670 Floroquinolone Mammeri et		Mammari at al 2005	
Qnr-R	CTAATCCGGCAGCACTATTTA	070	resistance	Mainineri et al. 2005	
aac[3]-IV-F	CTTCAGGATGGCAAGTTGGT	296	Gentamicin	Van at al 2009	
aac[3]-IV-R	TCATCTCGTTCTCCGCTCAT	200	resistance	van et al. 2008	
Sul1-F	TTCGGCATTCTGAATCTCAC	577resistanceRandall et a634Tetracycline resistanceRandall et a634Tetracycline resistanceRandall et a636367Trimethoprim resistanceToro et al. 2G670Floroquinolone resistanceMammeri e286Gentamicin resistanceVan et al. 20822Sulfonamide resistanceVan et al. 20768Cephalothin resistanceVan et al. 20462Ampicillin resistanceVan et al. 20	Van at al 2009		
Sul1-R	ATGATCTAACCCTCGGTCTC	022	resistance	van et al. 2000	
blaSHV-F	TCGCCTGTGTATTATCTCCC	286 resistance Van et al. 2008 822 Sulfonamide resistance Van et al. 2008 768 Cephalothin Van et al. 2008	Van at al 2008		
blaSHV-R	CGCAGATAAATCACCACAATG	/00	resistance	v an et al. 2000	
CITM-F	TGGCCAGAACTGACAGGCAAA	460	Ampicillin	Van et al. 2008	
CITM-R	TTTCTCCTGAACGTGGCTGGC	402	resistance		
ereA-F	GCCGGTGCTCATGAACTTGAG	Ervtromycin		Van et al. 2008	
ereA-R	CGACTCTATTCGATCAGAGGC	419	resistance	van et al. 2008	

RESULTS

Phenotypic and Genotypic Identification

In this study, 19 (38%) Gram-positive, cocci were isolated from 50 oral swab samples of dogs. The catalase test was performed on 19 Gram-positive isolates; 2 (10.5%) isolated found to be catalasepositive and 17 (89.5%) isolates found to be catalasenegative. Gram-positive, catalase-negative 17 (89.5%) isolates were evaluated *Streptococcus sp.* 17 (89.5%) *Streptococcus sp.* suspected isolates were passaged on Tryptic soy agar plates and DNA extractions were performed. PCR analysis was performed on obtained DNA using universal primers. All *Streptococcus* PCR products (n=17) were visualised at 1450 bp bands in gel image analysis.

The 17 PCR products showing the band on 1450 bp were subjected to Sanger sequencing. As a result of Sanger sequence analysis, 8 (47%) of 17 isolates were identified as *Streptococcus minor* and other 9 (53%) *Streptococcus* isolates could not be typed by the Sanger sequencing method. Of the 5 (66%) *Streptococcus* isolates were 97% similarity to *Streptococcus minor* strain B-5-2 AP strain (Accession Number MT510388.1) and the other 3 (44%) *Streptococcus* isolates were 97% similarity to *Streptococcus minor* strain B-3-MS-7- AP strain (Accession Number MT492055.1).

It was found that the antibiogram results of *Streptococcus minor* isolates were 100% susceptible to ampiciline, vancomycin, cefotaxime, cefepime,

sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, amoxicillinclavulanic acid; 87.5% susceptible to streptomycin, florfenicol, trimethoprim, methicillin; 75% sensitive to erythromycin and penicillin; 75% resistant to tetracycline (Table 2).

<i>S. minor</i> Isolates	АМР (10µg)	S (300µg)	V (30μg)	Ε (15μg)	FFC (30µg)	СТХ (30µg)	СFР (30µg)	TMP (20μg)	Μ (5µg)	Τ (30μg)	SXT (25μg)	АМС (30µg)	P (10 IU)
2	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	S
3	S	S	S	R	R	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	S
4	S	R	S	Ι	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	S
5	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	S	R
6	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R	S	R	S	S	S
7	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	Ι	S	S	S
-	S	S	S	S	S	Ι	S	S	R	S	S	S	R
	100% S	87.5% S	100% S	75% S	87.5% S	100% S	100% S	87.5% S	87.5% S	75% R	100% S	100% S	75% S

Table 2. S. minor isolates antimicrobial susceptibility profile

AMP: Ampicilline, S: Streptomycin, V: Vancomycin, E: Eritromycin, FFC: Florfenicol, CTX: Cefotaxime, CFP: Cefepime, TMP: Trimethoprim, M: Methicillin, T: Tetracycline, SXT: Sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim, AMC: Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, P: Penisilin

In the antibiotic resistance gene analyzes, tetracycline resistance gene was found in the one S. minor isolate and the trimethoprim resistance gene was found in the one S. minor isolate. The antibiotic resistance genes were not detected on the other S. minor (n=6) isolates.

DISCUSSION

Dogs come into contact with the environment, there are also dog-to-dog differences in their oral microbiome. Generally, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Fusobacteria are commonly reported in the oral bacterial composition. In addition, Porphyromonas, Fusobacterium, Streptococcus, Capnocytophagae and Pasteurella species are also found in canine oral microbiomes at varying rates (Sturgeon et al. 2013, Bell et al. 2020, Ruparell et al. 2020).

Oral microbiota is related to the oral health of dogs, but there is an increase in the number of pathogen Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria with periodontal diseases. Bacteria found in the oral cavity of dogs appear as potentially dangerous agents in dog bites in humans. *E.coli, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus* and *Klebsiella, Pasteurella* species are among these pathogens. The most common species isolated from dog bites is *Pasteurella* species (50%), while *Streptococcus* species (46%) is the second causative agent (Abrahamian and Golstein 2011). *Streptococcus* species

can cause septicemic infections, especially by passing through bite wounds into the circulation. Streptococcus species play an important role in infections such as endocarditis, septic arthritis, pharyngitis and cellulitis. Streptococcus canis is one of the most important species isolated from bite wounds (Stefanopoulos and Tarantzopoulou 2005). Ohtaki et al. (2013) identified Streptococcus canis from the femur fracture site of a 91year-old woman. Researchers reported that the dog lived in the same house with its owner. It is noteworthy that Streptococcus canis was isolated from the wound site, although there were no bite cases. There are literatures about Streptococcus canis, which causes bacteremia and ulcers on the skin, such as this case (Bert and Lambert 1997, Takeda et al. 2001, Lam et al. 2007). Takeda et al. (2001) reported that they isolated Streptococcus canis from septicemia that occurred 2 weeks after the dog bite in a 75-year-old woman.

In recent years, with the development of molecular diagnostic methods, identification of new *Streptococcus* species has begun. Vancanneyt et al. (2004) were identified *Streptococcus minor* for the first time in canine tonsils. *Streptococcus minor* is also included in the oral *Streptococcus* species. Then, Tre-Hardy et al. (2016) identified *Streptococcus minor* from the bite wound of a 51-year-old woman. Thus, *Streptococcus minor* was isolated for the first time as a wound infection agent originating from dog bite.

CONCLUSION

In this study, it was concluded that with the development of molecular diagnostic techniques, Streptococcus minor will play an important role in dog bite cases like other Streptococcus species. For this reason, it was important to investigate whether Streptococcus minor species exist in canine oral flora. For this purpose, 8 (16%) Streptococcus minor identifications out of 50 oral swab samples were made using diagnostic methods. sequence-based In the antibiogram analysis, it was determined that most of the isolates were sensitive to antibiotics, but resistance to tetracycline was 75%. Tetracycline and trimethoprim resistance genes were found to be in only two of these isolates.

As a result, *Streptococcus minor* species have an important potential to become a zoonotic pathogen in dog bite cases in the coming years. In the diagnosis of *Streptococcus minor* infections, it should be investigated whether there is dog contact or not. Septicemic and ulcerative infections can develop within about 2 weeks after dog bites. In these cases, it is recommended that the identification of *Streptococcus* species in isolation from wound infections should be made by molecular methods and that *Streptococcus minor* species, which may be the primary pathogen should be taken into consideration.

Financial Support: There is no financial support for this study.

Authors Contribution Rate: The authors declared that they contributed equally to the article.

Ethics Committee Information: This study is not subject to HADYEK's permission in accordance with Article 8 (k) of the "Regulation on Working Procedures and Principles of Animal Experiments Ethics Committees".

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared that there are no actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest for this article.

REFERENCES

- Abrahamian FM, Goldstein EJ. Microbiology of animal bite wound infections. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2011; 24 (2), 231-246.
- Bata SI, Andua OA, Maimadu A, Sabo JA, Mayowa O, Waziri IA. Oral cavities multidrug resistant bacteria colonization in apparently healthy dogs in jos, Plateau State, Nigeria. Science World Journal. 2020; 15 (1), 15-20.
- Bell SE, Nash AK, Zanghi BM, Otto CM, Perry EB. An assessment of the stability of the canine oral microbiota after probiotic administration in healthy dogs over time. Front. Vet. Sci. 2020; 7, 616.

- Bert F, Lambert-Zechovsky N. Septicemia caused by Streptococcus canis in a human. J Clin Microbiol. 1997; 35, 777–779.
- Chen T, Yu WH, Izard J, Baranova OV, Lakshmanan A, Dewhirst FE. The Human Oral Microbiome Database: a web accessible resource for investigating oral microbe taxonomic and genomic information. Database (Oxford). 2010; Article ID: baq013. doi: 10.1093/database/baq013
- **Damborg P, Broens EM, Chomel BB.** Bacterial zoonoses transmitted by household pets: State-of-the-art and future perspectives for targeted research and policy actions. J. Comp. Pathol. 2016; 155 (1), 27-40.
- Fowler EB, Breault LG, Cuenin MF. Periodontal disease and its association with systemic disease. Mil Med. 2001; 166 (1), 85–89.
- Goldstein EJC, Citron DM, Tyrrell KL, Leoncio E, Merriam CV. Comparative in vitro activity of omadacycline against dog and cat bite wound isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2018; 62 (4), e02551-17.
- Isaiah A, Hoffmann AR, Kelley R, Mundell P, Steiner JM, Suchodolski JS. Characterization of the nasal and oral microbiota of detection dogs. PLoS One. 2017; 12 (9), e0184899.
- Lam MM, Clarridge JE, Young EJ, Mizuki S. The other group G Streptococcus: increased detection of Streptococcus canis ulcer infections in dog owners. J Clin Microbiol. 2007; 45 (7), 2327–2329.
- Lane DJ. 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. Stackebrandt, E., and Goodfellow, M., eds., John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 1991; 115-175.
- Mammeri H, Van De Loo M, Poirel L, Martinez-Martinez L, Nordmann P. Emergence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in Escherichia coli in Europe. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005; 49 (1), 71–76.
- Marsh PD. Microbial ecology of dental plaque and its significance in health and disease. Adv Dent Res. 1994; 8 (2), 263–271.
- Oh C, Lee K, Cheong Y, Lee SW, Park SY, Song CS, Choi IS, Lee JB. Comparison of the oral microbiomes of canines and their owners using next-generation sequencing. PLoS One. 2015; 10 (7), e0131468.
- Ohtaki H, Ohkusu K, Ohta H, Miyazaki T, Yonetamari J, Usui T, Mori I, Ito H, Ishizuka T, Seishima M. A case of sepsis caused by Streptococcus canis in a dog owner: a first case report of sepsis without dog bite in Japan. J Infect Chemother. 2013; 19 (6), 1206-1209.
- Randall LP, Cooles SW, Osborn MK, Piddock LJ, Woodward MJ. Antibiotic resistance genes integrons and multiple antibiotic resistance in thirtyfive serotypes of Salmonella enterica isolated from humans and animals in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2004; 53 (2), 208–216.
- Razali K, Kaidi R, Abdelli A, Menoueri MN, Ait-Oudhia K. Oral flora of stray dogs and cats in Algeria: Pasteurella and other zoonotic bacteria. Veterinary World. 2020; 13 (12), 2806-2814.
- Ruparell A, Inui T, Staunton R, Wallis C, Deusch O, Holcombe LJ. The canine oral microbiome: Variation in bacterial populations across different niches. BMC Microbiol. 2020; 20 (1), 1-13.
- Stefanopoulos PK, Tarantzopoulou AD. Facial bite wounds: management update. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2005; 34 (5), 464–472.

- Sturgeon A, Stull JW, Costa MC, Weese JS. Metagenomic analysis of the canine oral cavity as revealed by highthroughput pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. Vet. Microbiol. 2013; 162 (2-4), 891–898.
- Tabaka ME, Quinn JV, Kohn MA, Polevoi SK. Predictors of infection from dog bite wounds: Which patients may benefit from prophylactic antibiotics? Emerg. Med. J. 2015; 32 (11), 860-863.
- Takeda N, Kikuchi K, Asano R, Harada T, Totsuka K, Uchiyama T, Hosoda S. Recurrent septicemia caused by Streptococcus canis after a dog bite. Scand J Infect Dis. 2001; 33 (12), 927–928.
- Toro CS, Farfán M, Contreras I, Flores O, Navarro N, Mora GC, Prado V. Genetic analysis of antibiotic-resistance determinants in multidrugresistant Shigella strains isolated from Chilean children. Epidemiol Infect. 2005; 133 (1), 81–86.
- Tré-Hardy M, Saussez T, Yombi JC, Rodriguez-Villalobos H. First case of a dog bite wound infection caused by Streptococcus minor in human. NMNI. 2016; 14, 49-50.
- Turner S, Pryer KM, Miao VPW, Palmer JD. Investigating deep phylogenetic relationships among cyanobacteria and plastids by small subunit rRNA sequence analysis. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 1999 46 (4), 327-338.
- Van TT, Chin J, Chapman T, Tran LT, Coloe PJ. Safety of raw meat and shellfish in Vietnam: an analysis of Escherichia coli isolations for antibiotic resistance and virulence genes. Int J Food Microbiol. 2008; 124 (3), 217– 223.
- Vancanneyt M, Devriese LA, De Graef EM. Streptococcus minor sp. nov., from faecal samples and tonsils of domestic animals. IJSEM. 2004; 54 (2), 449–452.
- Wayne, PA. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement M100-S26., Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2016.
- Zarco MF, Vess TJ, Ginsburg GS. The oral microbiome in health and disease and the potential impact on personalized dental medicine. Oral Dis. 2012; 18 (2), 109-120.