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#### Abstract

In this article, homotopy perturbation method and variational iteration method are implemented to give approximate and analytical solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equation systems such as a threespecies food chain model. Homotopy perturbation is compared the variational iteration method for a threespecies food chain model. The variational iteration method is predominant than the other non-linear methods, such as perturbation method. In this method, in general Lagrange multipliers are constructed by correction functionals for the systems. Multipliers can be identified by the variational theory. Some plots are presented to show the reliability and simplicity of the methods.
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## Üç Canlı Türünün oluşturduğu besin zinciri modelinin varyasyonel iterasyon ve homotopy perturbation yöntemi ile çözümü

## Özet

Bu makalede, üç canlı türünün oluşturduğu besin zincri modeli gibi lineer olmayan adi diferensiyel denklem sistemlerinin yaklaşık analitik çözümlerini elde edebilmek için homotopy perturbation ve varyasyonel iterasyon yöntemleri uyguland. Homotopy perturbation yöntemi varyasyonel iterasyon yöntemi ile mukayese edildi. Varyasyonel iterasyon yöntemi perturbation yöntemi olarak bilinen diğer non lineer yöntemlerden daha üstündür. Bu yöntemde genelde Lagrange çarpanları sistemler için düzeltme fonksiyoneli ile elde edildi. Çarpanlar varyasyonel teori ile belirlendi. Yöntemlerin doğruluğunu göstermek için birkaç tane grafik sunuldu.

Anahtar kelimeler: Varyasyonel iterasyon yöntemi, Homotopy perturbation yöntemi; üç canlı türünün olușturduğu besin zinciri modeli

## 1. Introduction

Dynamics of chaotic dynamical systems as a three-species food chain model is examined at the study [2]. The components of the basic tree-component model are proportional to the
population density of the lowest trophic level species (prey), the middle trophic level species (intermediate predator) and highest trophic level species (top predator)is denoted respectively by $x(t), y(t)$ and $z(\mathrm{t})$.These quantities satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d x}{d t}=x\left(a_{1}-b_{1} x-c_{1} y\right)  \tag{1}\\
\frac{d y}{d t}=y\left(-a_{2}+c_{2} x-d_{1} z\right) \\
\frac{d z}{d t}=z\left(-a_{3}+d_{2} y\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the initial conditions:

$$
x(0)=N_{1}, \quad y(0)=N_{2}, \quad z(0)=N_{3} .
$$

Throughout this paper, we set
$a_{1}=4, a_{2}=0.3, a_{3}=0.15, b_{1}=0.01$,
$c_{1}=14, c_{2}=0.3, d_{1}=0.1, d_{2}=0.05$.

The motivation of this paper is to extend the application of the analytic homotopyperturbation method (HPM) and variational iteration method[3,6-14,19,21] to solve the Lorenz system (1). The homotopy perturbation method (HPM) was first proposed by Chinese mathematician He [16-22]. The variational iteration method, which was proposed originally by He [13] in 1999, has been proved by many authors to be a powerful mathematical tool for various kinds of nonlinear problems.

## 2. Variational iteration method

According to the variational iteration method [10], we consider the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u+N u=g(x), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a linear operator, $N$ is a non-linear operator, and $g(x)$ is an inhomogeneous term.
Then, we can construct a correct functional as follows:
$u_{n+1}(x)=u_{n}(x)+\int_{0}^{x} \lambda\left\{\begin{array}{l}L u_{n}(s) \\ +N \tilde{u}_{n}(s)-g(s)\end{array}\right\} d s,(3)$
where $\lambda$ is a general Lagrangian multiplier [911], which can be identified optimally via variational theory. The second term on the right is called the correction and $\tilde{u}_{n}$ is considered as a restricted variation, i.e., $\delta \tilde{u}_{n}=0$.

## 3. Homotopy perturbation method

To illustrate the homotopy perturbation method (HPM) for solving non-linear differential equations, He [21, 22] considered the following non-linear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(u)=f(r), \quad r \in \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)=0, \quad r \in \Gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A is a general differential operator, B is a boundary operator, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{r})$ is a known analytic function, $\Gamma$ is the boundary of the domain $\Omega$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes differentiation along the normal vector drawn outwards from $\Omega$. The operator A can generally be divided into two parts M and N . Therefore, (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(u)+N(u)=f(r), \quad r \in \Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

He [22,23] constructed a homotopy $v(r, p): \Omega x[0,1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(v, p)=(1-p)\left[M(v)-M\left(u_{0}\right)\right]  \tag{7}\\
& +p[A(v)-f(r)]=0
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(v, p)=M(v)-M\left(u_{0}\right) \\
& +p M\left(v_{0}\right)+p[N(v)-f(r)]=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p \in[0,1]$ is an embedding parameter, and $u_{0}$ is an initial approximation of (4). Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(v, 0)=M(v)-M\left(u_{0}\right)=0,  \tag{9}\\
& H(v, 1)=A(v)-f(r)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The changing process of p from zero to unity is just that of $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{p})$ from $M(v)-M\left(v_{0}\right)$ to $A(v)-f(r)$. In topology, this is called deformation and $M(v)-M\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $A(v)-f(r)$ are called homotopic. According to the homotopy perturbation method, the parameter $p$ is used as a small parameter, and the solution of Eq. (7) can be expressed as a series in $p$ in the Form
$v=v_{0}+p v_{1}+p^{2} v_{2}+p^{3} v_{3}+\ldots$

When $p \rightarrow 1$, Eq. (7) corresponds to the original one, Eqs. (6) and (10) become the approximate solution of Eq. (6), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1} v=v_{0}+v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+\ldots \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convergence of the series in Eq. (11) is discussed by He in [21, 22].

## 4. Applications

In this section, we will apply the homotopy perturbation method to nonlinear ordinary differential systems (1).
4.1. Homotopy Perturbation Method to a Three-Species Food Chain Model

According to homotopy perturbation method, we derive a correct functional as follows:
$(1-p)\left(\dot{v}_{1}-\dot{x}_{0}\right)+p\left(\dot{v}_{1}+v_{1}\left(-a_{1}+b_{1} v_{1}+c_{1} v_{2}\right)\right)=0$,
$(1-p)\left(\dot{v}_{2}-\dot{y}_{0}\right)+p\left(\dot{v}_{2}+v_{2}\left(a_{2}-c_{2} v_{1}+d_{1} v_{3}\right)\right)=0$,
$(1-p)\left(\dot{v}_{3}-\dot{z}_{0}\right)+p\left(\dot{v}_{3}+v_{3}\left(a_{3}-d_{2} v_{2}\right)\right)=0$,
where "dot" denotes differentiation with respect to $t$, and the initial approximations are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1,0}(t)=x_{0}(t)=x(0)=N_{1}, \\
& v_{2,0}(t)=y_{0}(t)=y(0)=N_{2},  \tag{13}\\
& v_{3,0}(t)=z_{0}(t)=z(0)=N_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=v_{1,0}+p v_{1,1}+p^{2} v_{1,2}+p^{3} v_{1,3}+\ldots \\
& v_{2}=v_{2,0}+p v_{2,1}+p^{2} v_{2,2}+p^{3} v_{2,3}+\ldots  \tag{14}\\
& v_{3}=v_{3,0}+p v_{3,1}+p^{2} v_{3,2}+p^{3} v_{3,3}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{i, j}, i, j=1,2,3, \ldots$ are functions yet to be determined. Substituting Eqs.(13) and (14) into Eq. (12) and arranging the coefficients of "p" powers, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\dot{v}_{1,1}+N_{1}\left(-a_{1}+b_{1} N_{1}+c_{1} N_{2}\right)\right) p+ \\
& \left(\dot{v}_{1,2}-a_{1} v_{1,1}+2 b_{1} N_{1}+c_{1}\left(N_{1} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{1,1}\right)\right) p^{2} \\
& +\binom{\dot{v}_{1,3}-a_{1} v_{1,2}+b_{1}\left(2 N_{1} v_{1,2}+v_{1,1}^{2}\right)}{c_{1}\left(N_{1} v_{2,2}+v_{1,1} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{1,2}\right)} p^{3}+\ldots=0, \\
& \left(\dot{v}_{2,1}+a_{2} N_{2}-c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}+d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right) p \\
& +\binom{\dot{v}_{2,2}+a_{2} v_{2,1}-c_{2}\left(N_{1} v_{2,1}+v_{1,1} N_{2}\right)}{+d_{1}\left(N_{3} v_{2,1}+v_{3,1} N_{2}\right)} p^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& +\binom{\dot{v}_{2,3}+a_{2} v_{2,2}-c_{2}\left(N_{1} v_{2,2}+v_{1,2} N_{2}+v_{1,1} v_{2,1}\right)}{+d_{1}\left(N_{3} v_{2,2}+v_{3,2} N_{2}+v_{3,1} v_{2,1}\right)} p^{3}+\ldots=0, \\
& \left(\dot{v}_{3,1}+a_{3} N_{3}-d_{2} N_{2} N_{3}\right) p+ \\
& \left(\dot{v}_{3,2}+a_{3} v_{3,1}-d_{2}\left(N_{3} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{3,1}\right)\right) p^{2} \\
& +\left(\dot{v}_{3,3}+a_{3} v_{3,2}-d_{2}\left(N_{3} v_{2,2}+N_{2} v_{3,2}+v_{3,1} v_{2,1}\right)\right) p^{3}+\ldots=0,
\end{align*}
$$

In order to obtain the unknowns $v_{i, j}(t), i, j=1,2,3$, we must construct and solve the following system which includes nine equations with nine unknowns, considering the initial conditions $v_{i, j}(0)=0, i, j=1,2,3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{v}_{1,1}+N_{1}\left(-a_{1}+b_{1} N_{1}+c_{1} N_{2}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{1,2}-a_{1} v_{1,1}+2 b_{1} N_{1}+c_{1}\left(N_{1} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{1,1}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{1,3}-a_{1} v_{1,2}+b_{1}\left(2 N_{1} v_{1,2}+v_{1,1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+c_{1}\left(N_{1} v_{2,2}+v_{1,1} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{1,2}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{2,1}+a_{2} N_{2}-c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}+d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{2,2}+a_{2} v_{2,1}-c_{2}\left(N_{1} v_{2,1}+v_{1,1} N_{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& +d_{1}\left(N_{3} v_{2,1}+v_{3,1} N_{2}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{2,3}+a_{2} v_{2,2}-c_{2}\left(N_{1} v_{2,2}+v_{1,2} N_{2}+v_{1,1} v_{2,1}\right) \\
& +d_{1}\left(N_{3} v_{2,2}+v_{3,2} N_{2}+v_{3,1} v_{2,1}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{3,1}+a_{3} N_{3}-d_{2} N_{2} N_{3}=0, \\
& \dot{v}_{3,2}+a_{3} v_{3,1}-d_{2}\left(N_{3} v_{2,1}+N_{2} v_{3,1}\right)=0 \\
& \dot{v}_{3,3}+a_{3} v_{3,2}-d_{2}\left(N_{3} v_{2,2}+N_{2} v_{3,2}+v_{3,1} v_{2,1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (11), if the three terms approximations are sufficient, we will obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1} v_{1}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{2} v_{1, k}(t) \\
& y(t)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1} v_{2}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{2} v_{2, k}(t)  \tag{17}\\
& z(t)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1} v_{3}(t)=\sum_{k=0}^{2} v_{3, k}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=N_{1}+N_{1}\left(a_{1}-b_{1} N_{1}-c_{1} N_{2}-2 b_{1} N_{1}\right) t \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
-c_{1}\left(N _ { 1 } \left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right.\right. \\
\left.+N_{2} N_{1}\left(a_{1}-b_{1} N_{1}-c_{1} N_{2}\right)\right) \\
a_{1} N_{1}\left(a_{1}-b_{1} N_{1}-c_{1} N_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] t^{2} \\
& y(t)=N_{2}+\left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right) t \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
-a_{2}\left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right) \\
c_{2}\left(N _ { 1 } \left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right.\right. \\
\left.+N_{2} N_{1}\left(a_{1}-b_{1} N_{1}-c_{1} N_{2}\right)\right) \\
d_{1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
N_{2}\left(-\mathrm{a}_{3} N_{3}+\mathrm{d}_{2} N_{2} N_{3}\right) \\
+N_{3}\left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}\right] t^{2} \\
& z(t)=N_{3}+\left(-\mathrm{a}_{3} N_{3}+\mathrm{d}_{2} N_{2} N_{3}\right) t \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
-a_{3}\left(-\mathrm{a}_{3} N_{3}+\mathrm{d}_{2} N_{2} N_{3}\right) \\
d_{2}\binom{N_{2}\left(-\mathrm{a}_{3} N_{3}+\mathrm{d}_{2} N_{2} N_{3}\right)}{+N_{3}\left(-a_{2} N_{2}+c_{2} N_{1} N_{2}-d_{1} N_{2} N_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right] t^{2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here
$N_{1}=0.05, N_{2}=0.1$ and $N_{3}=0.5$ for the three-component model.

A few first approximations for $x(t), y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are calculated and presented below:

Three terms approximations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=0.05+.128975 t+.179393 t^{2}+.1749542617 t^{3} \\
& y(t)=0.1-.335 t+.007908375000 t^{2}+.0003896485417 t^{3} \\
& z(t)=0.5-0.0725 t+.0048375 t^{2}-.0001273052083 t^{3} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Four terms approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & 0.05+.128975 t+.179393 t^{2}+.1749542617 t^{3} \\
& +.1310726688 t^{4} \\
y(t) & =0.1-.335 t+.007908375000 t^{2}+.0003896485417 t^{3} \\
& +.0009215028272 t^{4}, \\
z(t)= & 0.5-0.0725 t+.0048375 t^{2}-.0001273052083 t^{3} \\
& -.000002156144535 t^{4}, \\
& \quad(20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Five terms approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =0.05+.128975 t+.179393 t^{2}+.1749542617 t^{3} \\
& +.1310726688 t^{4}+.1170764399 t^{5}, \\
y(t) & =0.1-.335 t+.007908375000 t^{2}+.0003896485417 t^{3} \\
& +.0009215028272 t^{4}-.0003176445010 t^{5}, \\
z(t) & =0.5-0.0725 t+.0048375 t^{2}-.0001273052083 t^{3} \\
& -.000002156144535 t^{4}+.0000002081296537 t^{5}, \\
& \quad(21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Six terms approximations:



Five terms approximations



Figure. 1. Plots of three, four five and six terms approximations for a three-species food chain model

In this section, we will apply the variational iteration method to nonlinear ordinary differential systems (1).

### 4.2. Variational Iteration Method to a ThreeSpecies Food Chain Model

According to the variational iteration method, we derive a correct functional as follows:
$x_{n+1}(t)=x_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}x_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) \\ -\tilde{x}_{n}(\xi)\binom{a_{1}-c_{1} \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi)}{-b_{1} \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi)}\end{array}\right) d \xi$,
$y_{n+1}(t)=y_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{2}\left\{\begin{array}{l}y_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) \\ -\tilde{y}_{n}(\xi)\binom{a_{2}-d_{1} \tilde{z}_{n}(\xi)}{+c_{2} \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi)}\end{array}\right\} d \xi$,
$z_{n+1}(t)=z_{n}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{3}\left\{\begin{array}{l}v_{n}^{\prime}(\xi) \\ -\tilde{z}_{n}(\xi)\binom{-a_{3}}{+d_{2} \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi)}\end{array}\right) d \xi$,
where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are general Lagrange
multipliers,

$$
\tilde{x}_{n}(\xi), \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi) \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi),
$$

$$
\tilde{x}_{n}(\xi) \tilde{z}_{n}(\xi), \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi) \text { and } \tilde{z}_{n}(\xi)
$$

denote restricted variations, i.e.
$\delta \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi)=\delta \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi) \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi)=$
$\delta \tilde{x}_{n}(\xi) \tilde{z}_{n}(\xi)=\delta \tilde{y}_{n}(\xi)=\delta \tilde{z}_{n}(\xi)=0$

Making the above correction functionals stationary, we can obtain following stationary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \\
& 1+\left.\lambda_{1}(\xi)\right|_{\xi=t}=0, \\
& \lambda_{2}^{\prime}(\xi)=0,  \tag{24}\\
& 1+\left.\lambda_{2}(\xi)\right|_{\xi=t}=0, \\
& \lambda_{3}^{\prime}(\xi)=0, \\
& 1+\left.\lambda_{3}(\xi)\right|_{\xi=t}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}(t)=N_{1}+\left[N_{1} a_{1}-N_{1} N_{2} c_{1}-N_{1}^{2} b_{1}\right] t \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}-c_{1}\left[N_{2}+\left(N_{2} a_{2}-N_{2} N_{3} d_{1}+N_{1} N_{2} c_{2}\right) t\right] \\
-b_{1}\left[N_{1}+\left(N_{1} a_{1}-N_{1} N_{2} c_{1}-N_{1}^{2} b_{1}\right) t\right]
\end{array}\right] t \\
& y_{2}(t)=N_{2}+\left[N_{2} a_{2}-N_{2} N_{3} d_{1}+N_{1} N_{2} c_{2}\right] t \\
& +\left[N_{2}+\left(N_{2} a_{2}-N_{2} N_{3} d_{1}+N_{1} N_{2} c_{2}\right) t\right] \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.a_{2}-d_{1}\left(N_{2} N_{3} d_{2}-N_{3} a_{3}\right) t\right] \\
+c_{2}\left[N_{1}+\left(N_{1} a_{1}-N_{1} N_{2} c_{1}-N_{1}^{2} b_{1}\right) t\right] t \\
\mathrm{z}_{2}(t)=N_{3}+\left[N_{2} N_{3} d_{2}-N_{3} a_{3}\right] t \\
+\left[N_{3}+\left(N_{2} N_{3} d_{2}-N_{3} a_{3}\right) t\right] \\
{\left[-a_{3}+d_{2}\left[N_{2}+\left(N_{2} a_{2}-N_{2} N_{3} d_{1}+N_{1} N_{2} c_{2}\right) t\right]\right] t}
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing in this manner, we can find the rest of components.
A five terms approximation to the solutions are considered

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t) \approx x_{4}, \\
& y(t) \approx y_{4}, \\
& z(t) \approx z_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{3}(t) & =0.05+.389925 t+.957765075 t^{2}+.5796427849 t^{3}  \tag{28}\\
& -.0075555774 t^{4}-.02137819435 t^{5} \\
& +.02721995825 t^{6}+.0008067558425 t^{7}, \\
y_{3}(t) & =0.1+.0795 t+.03494025 t^{2}+.01867942075 t^{3} \\
& +.004968085852 t^{4}+.0004469168796 t^{5} \\
& -.0000529651676 t^{6}-.00001777761613 t^{7}, \\
z_{3}(t) & =0.05-.2175 t+.033525 t^{2}-.00180951875 t^{3} \\
& -.00001026046875 t^{4}-.000002631284375 t^{5} \\
& +.0000006287661211 t^{6}-.5885875457 \mathrm{e}-8 t^{7}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4}(t) & =0.05+.5199 t+1.91553015 t^{2}+2.6089091 t^{3} \\
& -.1783383111 t^{4}-2.313402444 t^{5}-.1685959067 t^{6} \\
& +.01504844683 t^{7}-.0752112378 t^{8}+.02741692556 t^{9} \\
& +.003377382416 t^{10}-.000416217648 t^{11} \\
& -.0001826910779 t^{12}-.8079245347 \mathrm{e}-5 t^{13} \\
& +.1231768053 \mathrm{e}-5 t^{14}+.1942821896 \mathrm{e}-6 t^{15}, \\
y_{4}(t) & =0.1+.106 t+.0698805 t^{2}+.0673651255 t^{3} \\
& +.05474885791 t^{4}+.01588933851 t^{5} \\
& +.001779947402 t^{6}-.7581689025 \mathrm{e}-5 t^{7} \\
& -.1439143923 \mathrm{e}-2 t^{8}-.6191604829 \mathrm{e}-3 t^{9} \\
& -.7706325467 \mathrm{e}-4 t^{10}+.9092589199 \mathrm{e}-5 t^{11} \\
& +.4080603973 \mathrm{e}-5 t^{12}+.1789294670 \mathrm{e}-6 t^{13} \\
& -.2733507997 \mathrm{e}-7 t^{14}-.4302669168 \mathrm{e}-8 t^{15} \\
z_{4}(t) & =0.5-.29 t+.06705 t^{2}-.0066617 t^{3} \\
& +.4723919250 \mathrm{e}-3 t^{4}-.2870431373 \mathrm{e}-4 t^{5} \\
& -.1373536750 \mathrm{e}-4 t^{6}-.1373536750 \mathrm{e}-4 t^{7} \\
& +.4203809187 \mathrm{e}-6 t^{8}+.6018226760 \mathrm{e}-7 t^{9} \\
& -.2531359036 \mathrm{e}-7 t^{10}+.1727511554 \mathrm{e}-8 t^{11} \\
& +.2867688755 \mathrm{e}-10 t^{12}+.5422381735 \mathrm{e}-12 t^{13} \\
& -.5433108178 \mathrm{e}-12 t^{14}+.5231841723 \mathrm{e}-14 t^{15},
\end{aligned}
$$

These results obtained by homotopy perturbation method, three, four, five and six terms approximations for $\quad x(t), y(t)$ and $z(t)$ are calculated and presented follow. These results are plotted in Figure 2. The Homotopy perturbation method was tested by comparing the results with the results of the Variational iteration method.
These results are plotted in Figure 2.
(33)


Figure. 2. Plots of three, four five and six terms approximations for a three-species food chain model

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, homotopy perturbation method was used for finding the solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equation systems such as a three-species food chain model. We demonstrated the accuracy and efficiency of these methods by solving some ordinary differential equation systems. We apply He's homotopy perturbation method to calculate certain integrals. It is easy and very beneficial tool for calculating certain difficult integrals or in deriving new integration formula.

The computations associated with the examples in this paper were performed using Maple 7 and Matlab 7
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