## Asymptotically ideal invariant equivalence

Uğur Ulusu

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the concepts of asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalence,  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalence, strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalence and strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically p-equivalence for real number sequences are defined. Also, we give relationships among these new type equivalence concepts and the concept of  $S_{\sigma}$ -asymptotically equivalence which is studied in [Savaş, E. and Patterson, R. F.,  $\sigma$ -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Cent. Eur. I. Math., 4 (2006), No. 4, 648–655]

## 1. Introduction and background

Let  $\sigma$  be a mapping of the positive integers into themselves. A continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\ell_{\infty}$ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if it satisfies following conditions:

- (1)  $\phi(x) \geq 0$ , when the sequence  $x = (x_n)$  has  $x_n \geq 0$  for all n,
- (2)  $\phi(e) = 1$ , where e = (1, 1, 1, ...) and
- (3)  $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$  for all  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ .

The mappings  $\sigma$  are assumed to be one-to-one and such that  $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$  for all positive integers n and m, where  $\sigma^m(n)$  denotes the m th iterate of the mapping  $\sigma$  at n. Thus,  $\phi$  extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that  $\phi(x) = \lim x$  for all  $x \in c$ .

In the case  $\sigma$  is translation mappings  $\sigma(n)=n+1$ , the  $\sigma$ -mean is often called a Banach limit and the space  $V_{\sigma}$ , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences  $\hat{c}$ .

It can be shown that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Several authors have studied invariant convergent sequences (see, [5–9, 12–14, 16, 18]).

The concept of strongly  $\sigma$ -convergence was defined by Mursaleen in [6] as follows:

A bounded sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in n. It is denoted by  $x_k \to L[V_{\sigma}]$ .

By  $[V_{\sigma}]$ , we denote the set of all strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences. In the case  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the space  $[V_{\sigma}]$  is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences  $[\hat{c}]$ .

Received: 12.06.2017. In revised form: 13.01.2018. Accepted: 20.01.2018

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34C41, 40A35.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotically equivalence, statistical convergence, I-convergence, invariant convergence.

216 U. Ulusu

The concept of strongly  $\sigma$ -convergence was generalized by Savas [13] as below:

$$[V_{\sigma}]_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where 0 .

If p = 1, then  $[V_{\sigma}]_p = [V_{\sigma}]$ . It is known that  $[V_{\sigma}]_p \subset \ell_{\infty}$ .

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [1] and studied by many authors.

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be statistically convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \Big| \big\{ k \le n : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon \big\} \Big| = 0,$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set.

The concept of  $\sigma$ -statistically convergent sequence was introduced by Savaş and Nuray in [16] as follows:

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is  $\sigma$ -statistically convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \Big| \big\{ k \le m : |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| \ge \varepsilon \big\} \Big| = 0,$$

uniformly in n. It is denoted by  $S_{\sigma} - \lim x = L$  or  $x_k \to L(S_{\sigma})$ .

The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [3] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Similar concepts can be seen in [2,9].

A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if  $(i) \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ , (iii) For each  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $B \subseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal is called non-trivial if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

All ideals in this paper are assumed to be admissible.

A family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a filter if and only if  $(i) \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ , (iii) For each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $B \supseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

For any ideal there is a filter  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  corresponding with  $\mathcal{I}$ , given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{ M \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I})(M = \mathbb{N} \backslash A) \}.$$

A sequence  $x=(x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon>0$ , the set

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\},\$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . If  $x = (x_k)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to L, then we write  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x = L$ .

Recently, the concepts of  $\sigma$ -uniform density of subset A of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of positive integers and corresponding  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence for real number sequences was introduced by Nuray et al. [9].

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and

$$s_m = \min_n \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), ..., \sigma^m(n) \right\} \right| \text{ and } S_m = \max_n \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), ..., \sigma^m(n) \right\} \right|.$$

If the following limits exists

$$\underline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{s_m}{m}, \quad \overline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{S_m}{m},$$

then they are called a lower  $\sigma$ -uniform density and an upper  $\sigma$ -uniform density of the set A, respectively.

If  $V(A) = \overline{V}(A)$ , then  $V(A) = V(A) = \overline{V}(A)$  is called the  $\sigma$ -uniform density of A.

Denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$  the class of all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with V(A) = 0.

Throughout the paper we take  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$  as an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergent to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set

$$A_{\varepsilon} = \{k : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\},\$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ ; i.e.,  $V(A_{\varepsilon})=0$ . It is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}-\lim x_k=L$ .

Marouf [4] presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices. Then, the concept of asymptotically equivalence has been developed by many other researchers (see, [10,11,15,17]).

Two nonnegative sequences  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  are said to be asymptotically equivalent if

$$\lim_{k} \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1.$$

It is denoted by  $x \sim y$ .

Two nonnegative sequences  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  are  $S_{\sigma}$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L provided that for every  $\varepsilon>0$ 

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m=1,2,\ldots$  , (denoted by  $x\stackrel{S_\sigma}{\sim} y$ ) and simply  $S_\sigma$ -asymptotically statistical equivalent, if L=1.

## 2. Asymptotically $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -Equivalence

In this section, the concepts of asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalence,  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalence, strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalence and strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically p-equivalence for real number sequences are defined. Also, we examine relationships among these new type equivalence concepts and the concept of  $S_{\sigma}$ -asymptotically equivalence which is studied in this area before.

**Definition 2.1.** Two nonnegative sequences  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  are said to be asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent of multiple L if for every  $\varepsilon>0$ 

$$A_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma};$$

i.e.,  $V(A_{\varepsilon})=0$ . In this case, we write  $x\overset{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^{L}}{\sim}y$  and simply asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent, if L=1.

The set of all asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent of multiple L sequences will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}^{L}$ .

218 U. Ulusu

**Definition 2.2.** Two nonnegative sequence  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  are  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} = L,$$

uniformly in m. In this case, we write  $x \stackrel{V_{\sigma}^L}{\sim} y$  and simply  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent, if L=1.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  are bounded sequences. If x and y are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent of multiple L, then these sequences are  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L.

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be an arbitrary and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Now, we calculate

$$t(m,n) := \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|.$$

We have

$$t(m,n) \le t^{(1)}(m,n) + t^{(2)}(m,n),$$

where

$$t^{(1)}(m,n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \quad \text{and} \quad t^{(2)}(m,n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \cdot \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| < \varepsilon$$

We get  $t^{(2)}(m,n)<\varepsilon$ , for every  $m=1,2,\ldots$  . The boundedness of  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  implies that there exists a M>0 such that

$$\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \le M,$$

for k = 1, 2, ...; m = 1, 2, ... Then, this implies that

$$t^{(1)}(m,n) \leq \frac{M}{n} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq M \frac{\max_{m} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{n} = M \frac{S_n}{n},$$

hence x and y are  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent to multiple L.

The converse of Theorem 2.1 does not hold. For example,  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  are the sequences defined by following;

$$x_k := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2 & , & \text{if } k \text{ is an even integer} \\ 0 & , & \text{if } k \text{ is an odd integer} \end{array} \right. ; \qquad y_k := 1$$

When  $\sigma(m)=m+1$ , this sequence is  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent but it is not asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent.

**Definition 2.3.** Two nonnegative sequence  $x=(x_k)$  and  $y=(y_k)$  are strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in m. In this case, we write  $x \stackrel{[V_{\sigma}^{L}]}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically equivalent, if L = 1.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $0 . Two nonnegative sequence <math>x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  are strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically p-equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in m. In this case, we write  $x \stackrel{[V_{\sigma}^L]_p}{\sim} y$  and simply strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically p-equivalent, if L=1.

The set of all strongly  $\sigma$ -asymptotically p-equivalent of multiple L sequences will be denoted by  $[\mathfrak{V}^L_{\sigma}]_p$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $0 . Then, <math>x \stackrel{[V_{\sigma}^L]_p}{\sim} y \Rightarrow x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^L}{\sim} y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \stackrel{[V_\sigma^L]_p}{\sim} y$  and given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|^p \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|^p \geq \varepsilon^p \cdot \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

$$\geq \varepsilon^p \cdot \max_{m} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|^p \ge \varepsilon^p \cdot \frac{\max_{m} \left| \left\{ 1 \le k \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{n} = \varepsilon^p \cdot \frac{S_n}{n},$$

for every  $m=1,2,\ldots$  . This implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{S_n}{n}=0$  and so  $x\stackrel{\mathcal{I}_\sigma^L}{\sim}y$  .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $0 and <math>x, y \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Then,  $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{\infty}^L}{\sim} y \Rightarrow x \stackrel{[V_{\infty}^L]_p}{\sim} y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x,y\in\ell_\infty$  and  $x\stackrel{\mathcal{I}^L}{\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}}y$ . Let  $\varepsilon>0$ . By assumption, we have  $V(A_\varepsilon)=0$ . The boundedness of x and y implies that there exists a M>0 such that

$$\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \le M,$$

for  $k=1,2,...;\; m=1,2,...$  . Observe that, for every  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right|^{p} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right|^{p} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right|^{p} \\ & \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \\ &\leq M \frac{\max \left| \left\{ 1 \le k \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{n} + \varepsilon^{p} \\ &\leq M \frac{S_{n}}{n} + \varepsilon^{p}. \end{split}$$

220 U. Ulusu

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}}-L\right|^p=0,$$

П

uniformly in m.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $0 . Then, <math>\mathfrak{I}_{\sigma}^{L} \cap \ell_{\infty} = [\mathfrak{V}_{\sigma}^{L}]_{p} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3.  $\Box$ 

Now we shall state a theorem that gives a relationship between asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalence and  $S_{\sigma}$ -asymptotically equivalence.

**Theorem 2.5.** The sequences  $x = (x_k)$  and  $y = (y_k)$  are asymptotically  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -equivalent to multiple L if and only if they are  $S_{\sigma}$ -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Fast, H., Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math., 2 (1951), 241-244
- [2] Kostyrko, P., Macaj, M., Šalát, T. and Sleziak, M., *I-Convergence and External I-limits points*, Math. Slovaca, 55 (2005), 443–464
- [3] Kostyrko, P., Šalát, T. and Wilczyński, W., I-Convergence, Real Anal. Exchange, 26 (2000), No. 2, 669-686
- [4] Marouf, M., Asymptotic equivalence and summability, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 16 (1993), No. 4, 755–762
- [5] Mursaleen, M., On finite matrices and invariant means, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1979), 457-460
- [6] Mursaleen, M., Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, Houston J. Math., 9 (1983), 505-509
- [7] Mursaleen, M. and Edely, O. H. H., On the invariant mean and statistical convergence, Appl. Math. Lett., 22 (2009), No. 11, 1700–1704
- [8] Nuray, F. and Savaş, E., Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25 (1994), No. 3, 267–274
- [9] Nuray, F., Gök, H. and Ulusu, U.,  $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$ -convergence, Math. Commun., 16 (2011), 531–538
- [10] Patterson, R. F., On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demostratio Math., 36 (2003), No. 1, 149–153
- [11] Patterson, R. F. and Savaş, E., On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math., 4 (2006), No. 2, 267–272
- [12] Raimi, R. A., Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, Duke Math. J., 30 (1963), No. 1, 81–94
- [13] Savaş, E., Some sequence spaces involving invariant means, Indian J. Math., 31 (1989), 1–8
- [14] Savas, E., Strongly  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences, Bull. Calcutta Math., 81 (1989), 295–300
- [15] Savaş, E., On I-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Adv. Difference Equ., 111 (2013), 7 pages. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111
- [16] Savaş, E. and Nuray, F., On  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence, Math. Slovaca, 43 (1993), No. 3, 309–315
- [17] Savaş, E. and Patterson, R. F., σ-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Cent. Eur. J. Math., 4 (2006), No. 4, 648–655
- [18] Schaefer, P., Infinite matrices and invariant means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1972), 104-110

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY 03200 AFYONKARAHISAR, TURKEY E-mail address: ulusu@aku.edu.tr