

# STRONGLY $\mathcal{I}_2$ -LACUNARY CONVERGENCE AND $\mathcal{I}_2$ -LACUNARY CAUCHY DOUBLE SEQUENCES OF SETS

**Erdinç Dündar Uğur Ulusu and Nimet Pancaroğlu**

Department of Mathematics,  
Afyon Kocatepe University, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey  
E-mail: erdincdundar79@gmail.com, edundar@aku.edu.tr  
ulusu@aku.edu.tr; npancaroglu@aku.edu.tr

*(Received February 13, 2016)*

## **Abstract**

In this paper, we study the concepts of the Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy double sequences and Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy double sequences of sets and investigate the properties and relationships between them.

---

**Keywords and phrases** : Lacunary sequence,  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence,  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy, Double sequence of sets, Wijsman convergence.

**AMS Subject Classification** : 40A05, 40A35.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all real numbers. The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [10] and Schoenberg [23].

This concept was extended to the double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [16]. Çakan and Altay [5] presented multidimensional analogues of the results presented by Fridy and Orhan [11].

Nuray and Ruckle [20] independently introduced the same with another name generalized statistical convergence. The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilczyński [14] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers. Das et al. [6] introduced the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence. A lot of development have been made in this area after the works of [8, 15, 17].

The concept of convergence of sequences of numbers has been extended by several authors to convergence of sequences of sets (see, [2, 3, 4, 19, 28, 29]). Nuray and Rhoades [19] extended the notion of convergence of set sequences to statistical convergence and gave some basic theorems. Ulusu and Nuray [26] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets and considered its relation with Wijsman statistical convergence, which was defined by Nuray and Rhoades.

Kişİ and Nuray [12] introduced a new convergence notion, for sequences of sets, which is called Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. Sever et al. [24] studied the concepts of Wijsman strongly lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary convergence and Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary Cauchy sequences of sets. Dündar et al. [7] examined the ideas of Wijsman strongly lacunary Cauchy, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary Cauchy and Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequences of sets. Nuray et al. [21] studied Wijsman

statistical convergence, Hausdorff statistical convergence and Wijsman statistical Cauchy double sequences of sets and investigate the relationships between them. Nuray et al. [22] studied the concepts of Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence and Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -Cauchy double sequences of sets.

In this paper, we study the concepts of Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergence, Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequences and Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy double sequences of sets and investigate the properties and relationships between them.

## 2 DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Now, we recall the basic definitions and concepts (See [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 18, 19, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29]).

Throughout the paper, we let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $A, A_k$  be any non-empty closed subsets of  $X$ .

For any point  $x \in X$ , we define the distance from  $x$  to  $A$  by

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} \rho(x, a).$$

We say that the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman convergent to  $A$  if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_k) = d(x, A)$ , for each  $x \in X$ . In this case we write  $W - \lim A_k = A$ .

We say that the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman Cauchy sequence, if for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there is a positive integer  $k_0$  such that for all  $m, n > k_0$ ,  $|d(x, A_m) - d(x, A_n)| < \varepsilon$ .

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Throughout this paper the intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ , and ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be abbreviated by  $q_r$ .

Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. We say that the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is

Wijsman strongly lacunary convergent to  $A$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

In this case we write  $A_k \rightarrow A([WN]_\theta)$  or  $A_k \xrightarrow{[WN]_\theta} A$ .

A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if

(i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ , (iii) For each  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $B \subseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

An ideal is called non-trivial if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A family of sets  $F \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called a filter if and only if

(i)  $\emptyset \notin F$ , (ii) For each  $A, B \in F$  we have  $A \cap B \in F$ , (iii) For each  $A \in F$  and each  $B \supseteq A$  we have  $B \in F$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  is a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ , then the set  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset X : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I})(M = X \setminus A)\}$  is a filter in  $\mathbb{N}$ , called the filter associated with  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $\theta$  be lacunary sequence and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. We say that the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is said to be Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$  or  $N_\theta[\mathcal{I}_W]$ -convergent to  $A$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , the set

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . In this case, we write  $A_k \rightarrow A(N_\theta[\mathcal{I}_W])$ .

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. We say that the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$  if and only if there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M' = \{r \in \mathbb{N} : m_k \in I_r\} \in F(\mathcal{I})$  for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |d(x, A_{m_k}) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $A_k \rightarrow A(N_\theta[\mathcal{I}_W^*])$ .

Let  $\theta$  be lacunary sequence. The sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k,p \in I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_p)| < \varepsilon,$$

for every  $k, p \geq k_0$ .

Let  $\theta$  be lacunary sequence and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. The sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_{k_0})| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space,  $\theta$  be lacunary sequence and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. The sequence  $\{A_k\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a set  $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M' = \{r \in \mathbb{N} : m_k \in I_r\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  and there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k,p \in I_r} |d(x, A_{m_k}) - d(x, A_{m_p})| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $k, p \geq k_0$ .

The double sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman convergent to  $A$  if

$$P - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A) \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$$

for each  $x \in X$ . In this case, we write  $W_2 - \lim A_{kj} = A$ .

The double sequence  $\theta = \{(k_r, j_s)\}$  is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing sequence of integers such that

$$k_0 = 0, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad j_0 = 0, \quad \bar{h}_u = j_u - j_{u-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad r, u \rightarrow \infty.$$

We use the following notations in the sequel:

$$k_{ru} = k_r j_u, \quad h_{ru} = h_r \bar{h}_u, \quad I_{ru} = \{(k, j) : k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } j_{u-1} < j \leq j_u\},$$

$$q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}} \text{ and } q_u = \frac{j_u}{j_{u-1}}.$$

Let  $\theta = \{(k_r, j_s)\}$  be a double lacunary sequence. The double sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary convergent to  $A$  if for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{k=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} \sum_{j=j_{u-1}+1}^{j_u} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

In this case, we write  $A_{kj} \xrightarrow{[W_2 N_\theta]} A$ .

Throughout the paper we take  $\mathcal{I}_2$  as a nontrivial admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

A nontrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is called strongly admissible if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

$\mathcal{I}_2^0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (\exists m(A) \in \mathbb{N})(i, j \geq m(A) \Rightarrow (i, j) \notin A)\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{I}_2^0$  is a nontrivial strongly admissible ideal and clearly an ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is strongly admissible if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ .

We say that an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the property (AP2) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , there exists a countable family of sets  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  such that  $A_j \Delta B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2^0$ , i.e.,  $A_j \Delta B_j$  is included in the finite union of rows and columns in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$  (hence  $B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Throughout the paper, we let  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal,  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space and  $A, A_k$  be any non-empty closed subsets of  $X$ .

We say that a double sequence of sets  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to  $A$ , if for each  $x \in X$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_{W_2} - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$ .

We say that the double sequence of sets  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -convergent to  $A$ , if there exists a set  $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ) such that for each  $x \in X$

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \rightarrow \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

In this case, we write  $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^* - \lim_{k,j \rightarrow \infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**(8], Theorem 3.3). Let  $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a countable collection of subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  for each  $i$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  is a filter associate with a strongly admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  with the property (AP2). Then, there exists a set  $P \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and the set  $P \setminus P_i$  is finite for all  $i$ .

### 3 MAIN RESULTS

Throughout the paper we take  $(X, \rho)$  be a separable metric space,  $\theta = \{k_{rj}\}$  be a double lacunary sequence,  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal and  $A, A_{kj}$  be non-empty closed subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.1.** *The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is said to be Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$  or  $N_\theta [\mathcal{I}_{W_2}]$ -convergent to  $A$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , the set*

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

*In this case, we write  $A_{kj} \rightarrow A (N_\theta [\mathcal{I}_{W_2}])$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary convergent to  $A$ , then it is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary convergent to  $A$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon,$$

for all  $k, j \geq k_0$ . Then, we have

$$T(x, \varepsilon) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\subset \{1, 2, \dots, k_0 - 1\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is a strongly admissible ideal we have  $\{1, 2, \dots, k_0 - 1\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and so  $T(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** *The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$  if and only if there exists a set  $M = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $M' = \{(r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (k, j) \in I_{ru}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  for each  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\lim_{r, u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

*In this case, we write  $A_{kj} \rightarrow A (N_\theta (\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^*))$ .*

**Definition 3.4.** *The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$  if and only if there exists a set  $M = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $M' = \{(r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (k, j) \in I_{ru}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  for each  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\lim_{r, u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

*In this case, we write  $A_{kj} \rightarrow A (N_\theta [\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^*])$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *If the sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ , then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ . Then, there exists a set  $M = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $M' = \{(r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (k, j) \in I_{ru}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon,$$



for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $k, j \geq k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$  we have

$$T(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ \subset H \cup \left( M' \cap \left( (\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right),$$

for  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M' = H \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is an admissible ideal we have

$$H \cup \left( M' \cap \left( (\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right) \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

and so  $T(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . Hence, this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal with property (AP2). If  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ , then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$

$$T(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Put

$$T_1 = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq 1 \right\}$$

and

$$T_p = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{p-1} \right\},$$

for  $p \geq 2$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is clear that  $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $T_i \in \mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By property (AP2) there exists a sequence of sets  $\{V_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$T_j \Delta V_j$  is included in finite union of rows and columns in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  for each  $j$  and  $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} V_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . We prove that for each  $x \in X$

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| = 0,$$

for  $M = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus V \in F(\mathcal{I}_2)$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. Choose  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} < \delta$ . Then, for each  $x \in X$ .

$$\left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \delta \right\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} T_j.$$

Since  $T_j \Delta V_j$  is a finite set for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} T_j \right) \cap \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\} \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} V_j \right) \cap \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $k, j \geq n_0$  and  $(k, j) \notin V$ , then

$$(k, j) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} V_j \text{ and so } (k, j) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{q-1} T_j.$$

Thus, for each  $x \in X$  we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{q} < \delta.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

Hence, for each  $x \in X$  we have  $A_{kj} \rightarrow A (N_\theta [\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^*])$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Definition 3.7.** The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| < \varepsilon,$$

for every  $k, j, s, t \geq k_0$ .

**Definition 3.8.** The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$ , there exists numbers  $s = s(\varepsilon, x), t = t(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

**Theorem 3.9.** If  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly lacunary Cauchy sequence, then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets.

*Proof.* The proof is routine verification so we omit it.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** If  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergent to  $A$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , we have

$$T\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x\right) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is a strongly admissible ideal, the set

$$T^c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x\right) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

is non-empty and belongs to  $F(\mathcal{I}_2)$ . So, we can choose positive integers  $r, u$  such that  $(r, u) \notin T\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x\right)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k_0, j_0) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{k_0 j_0}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, we define the set

$$B(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j),(k_0,j_0) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{k_0j_0})| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

We show that  $B(\varepsilon, x) \subset T(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x)$ . Let  $(r, u) \in B(\varepsilon, x)$  then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j),(k_0,j_0) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{k_0j_0})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| + \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k_0,j_0) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{k_0j_0}) - d(x, A)| \\ &< \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and therefore  $(r, u) \in T(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x)$ . Hence, we have  $B(\varepsilon, x) \subset T(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, x)$ . This shows that  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

**Definition 3.11.** *The sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a set  $M = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $M' = \{(r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (k, j) \in I_{ru}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and a number  $N = N(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $k, j, s, t \geq N$ .

**Theorem 3.12.** *If the double sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a set  $M = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $M' = \{(r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (k, j) \in I_{ru}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and a number  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $k, j, s, t \geq k_0$ .

Let  $H = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M'$ . It is obvious that  $H \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon, x) &= \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\subset H \cup \left( M' \cap \left( (\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be a strongly admissible ideal then,

$$H \cup \left( M' \cap \left( (\{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, \dots, (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right) \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Therefore, we have  $T(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , that is,  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.10, we have following Theorem:

**Theorem 3.13.** *If the double sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary convergence then  $\{A_{kj}\}$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets.*

**Theorem 3.14.** *If  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is an admissible ideal with the property (AP2) then the concepts Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy double sequence and Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy double sequence of sets coincide in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** If a sequence is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence, then it is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets by Theorem 3.12, where  $\mathcal{I}_2$  need not have the property (AP2).

Now, it is sufficient to prove that a sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  in  $X$  is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence under assumption that it is a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence. Let  $\{A_{kj}\}$  in  $X$  be a Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists numbers  $s = s(\varepsilon, x), t = t(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Let

$$P_i = \left\{ (r, u) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{s_i t_i})| < \frac{1}{i} \right\};$$

$(i = 1, 2, \dots),$

where  $s_i = s(1/i), t_i = t(1/i)$ . It is clear that  $P_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_2$  has the property (AP2), then by Lemma 2.1 there exists a set  $P \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and  $P \setminus P_i$  is finite for all  $i$ . Now, we show that

$$\lim_{k,n,s,t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| = 0,$$

for each  $x \in X$  and for  $(k, j), (s, t) \in P$ . To prove this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m > 2/\varepsilon$ . If  $(k, j), (s, t) \in P$  then  $P \setminus P_m$  is a finite set, so there exists  $v = v(m)$  such that  $(k, j), (s, t) \in P_m$  for all  $k, j, s, t > v(m)$ . Therefore, for each  $x$  in  $X$ ,

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{s_m t_m})| < \frac{1}{m}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{h_r \bar{h}_u} \sum_{(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{st}) - d(x, A_{s_m t_m})| < \frac{1}{m},$$

for all  $k, j, s, t > v(m)$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| &\leq \frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{s_m t_m})| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{st}) - d(x, A_{s_m t_m})| \\ &< \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{m} = \frac{2}{m} \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k, j, s, t > v(m)$  and for each  $x$  in  $X$ . Thus, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $v = v(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $k, j, s, t > v(\varepsilon)$  and  $(k, j), (s, t) \in P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$

$$\frac{1}{h_r \overline{h_u}} \sum_{(k,j),(s,t) \in I_{ru}} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{st})| < \varepsilon,$$

for each  $x$  in  $X$ . This shows that the sequence  $\{A_{kj}\}$  in  $X$  is Wijsman strongly  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -lacunary Cauchy sequence of sets.

## References

- [1] Aubin, J.-P. and Frankowska, H., *Set-valued analysis*, Birkhauser, Boston, (1990).
- [2] Baronti, M. and Papini, P., *Convergence of sequences of sets*, In: *Methods of functional analysis in approximation theory*, ISNM 76, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, pp. 133-155, (1986).
- [3] Beer, G., *On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **31** (1985), 421–432.
- [4] Beer, G., *Wijsman convergence: A survey*, Set-Valued Var. Anal. **2** (1994), 77–94.
- [5] Çakan, C. and Altay, B., *Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **317** (2006) 690–697.
- [6] Das, P., Kostyrko, P., Wilczyński, W. and Malik, P., *I and I\*-convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, **58** (5) (2008), 605–620.

- [7] Dündar, E. and Sever, Y., *On strongly I-lacunary Cauchy sequences of sets*, Contemporary Analysis and Applied Mathematics, 2(1) (2014), 127-135.
- [8] Dündar, E. and Altay, B.,  *$\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy of double sequences*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **34B**(2) (2014), 343–353.
- [9] Dündar, E. and Altay, B., *On some properties of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy of double sequences*, Gen. Math. Notes **7**(1) (2011), 1–12.
- [10] Fast, H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951) 241–244.
- [11] Fridy, J. A. and Orhan, C., *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. 160(1) (1993), 43–51.
- [12] Kişi, Ö. and Nuray, F. *A new convergence for sequences of sets*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, Article ID 852796, 6 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/852796>.
- [13] Kişi, Ö., Savaş, E. and Nuray, F., *On  $\mathcal{I}$ -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalence of sequences of sets*. (submitted for publication).
- [14] Kostyrko, P., Šalát T. and Wilczyński, W., *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26** (2) (2000), 669-686.
- [15] Kumar, V., *On I and I\*-convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun. **12** (2007), 171–181.
- [16] Mursaleen and Edely, O. H. H., *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288** (2003) 223–231.
- [17] Nabiev, A., Pehlivan, S. and Gürdal, M., *On I-Cauchy sequence*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2) (2007), 569–576.
- [18] Nuray, F., Ulusu, U. and Dündar, E., *On Cesàro summability of double sequences of sets*, Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 25, No. 1, November 2014, pp. 8-18.



- [19] Nuray, F. and Rhoades, B. E., *Statistical convergence of sequences of sets*, Fasc. Math. **49** (2012), 87–99.
- [20] Nuray, F. and Ruckle, W.H., *Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **245** (2000), 513–527.
- [21] Nuray, F., Dündar, E. and Ulusu, U., *Wijsman statistical convergence of double sequences of sets*, (under communication).
- [22] Nuray, F., Dündar, E. and Ulusu, U., *Wijsman  $I_2$ -convergence of double sequences of closed sets*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Letters, 2(2014), 31-35.
- [23] Schoenberg, I.J., *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly **66** (1959) 361–375.
- [24] Sever, Y., Ulusu, U. and Dündar, E., *On Strongly  $I$  and  $I^*$ -Lacunary Convergence of Sequences of Sets*, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1611, 357 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4893860, 7 page.
- [25] Tripathy, B.C, Hazarika, B. and Choudhary, B., *Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequences*. Kyungpook Math. J., (2012). **52**, 473-482.
- [26] Ulusu, U. and Nuray, F., *Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets*, Progress in Applied Mathematics, **4**(2) (2012), 99–109.
- [27] Ulusu, U. and Nuray, F. *On strongly lacunary summability of sequence of sets*. Journal of Applied Mathematics & Bioinformatics, (2013).**3**(3), 75–88.
- [28] Wijsman, R. A., *Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 186–188.
- [29] Wijsman, R. A., *Convergence of Sequences of Convex sets, Cones and Functions II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1) (1966), 32–45.