

# Statistical Lacunary Invariant Summability of Double Sequences

Uğur ULUSU\*<sup>1</sup>, Esra GÜLLE†<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, TURKEY

---

## Keywords:

Statistical convergence,  
double lacunary sequence,  
invariant convergence,  
double sequence.

MSC: 40A05, 40A35

## Abstract:

In this study, we give definitions of lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability, strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability and statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergence for double sequences. We also examine the existence of some relations among the definitions of statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergence, lacunary invariant statistical convergence and strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability.

---

## 1. Introduction and Background

The concept of statistical convergence was first introduced by Fast [2] and since then it has been studied by Šalát [15], Fridy [3] and many others, too.

A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0,$$

where the vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set.

Let  $\sigma$  be a mapping of the positive integers into themselves. A continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $\ell_\infty$ , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if it satisfies following conditions:

1.  $\phi(x) \geq 0$ , when the sequence  $x = (x_n)$  has  $x_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ ,
2.  $\phi(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$  and
3.  $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$ .

The mappings  $\sigma$  are assumed to be one-to-one and such that  $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$  for all positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , where  $\sigma^m(n)$  denotes the  $m$ th iterate of the mapping  $\sigma$  at  $n$ . Thus,  $\phi$  extends the limit functional on  $c$ , the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that  $\phi(x) = \lim x$  for all  $x \in c$ .

In the case  $\sigma$  is translation mappings  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ , the  $\sigma$ -mean is often called a Banach limit.

Many authors have studied on the concepts of invariant mean and invariant convergence (see, [5, 6, 8, 10, 14, 16, 20]).

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  such that  $k_0 = 0$  and  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  is denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$  (see, [9]).

The space of lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergent sequences  $L_\theta$  was defined by Savaş [17] as below:

$$L_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m \right\}.$$

---

\*ulusu@aku.edu.tr

†egulle@aku.edu.tr

Savaş and Nuray [18] introduced the concept of lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergent sequence as follows:

Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be  $S_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \{k \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$ . It is denoted by  $x_k \rightarrow L(S_{\sigma\theta})$ .

The concept of lacunary invariant summability and the space  $[V_{\theta\sigma}]_q$  were defined by Pancaroğlu and Nuray [11] as below:

Let  $\theta = \{k_r\}$  be a lacunary sequence. A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be lacunary invariant summable to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} x_{\sigma^k(m)} = L,$$

uniformly in  $m$ .

Let  $0 < q < \infty$ . A sequence  $x = (x_k)$  is said to be strongly lacunary  $q$ -invariant convergent to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L|^q = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$ . It is denoted by  $x_k \rightarrow L([V_{\theta\sigma}]_q)$

The concepts of convergence for double sequences have been studied by many authors (see, [1, 4, 12, 13, 21]).

A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be convergent to  $L$  in Pringsheim's sense if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{kj} - L| < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $k, j \geq N_\varepsilon$ .

A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be bounded if there exists an  $M > 0$  such that  $|x_{kj}| < M$  for all  $k$  and  $j$ , i.e., if  $\sup_{k,j} |x_{kj}| < \infty$ .

The set of all bounded double sequences will be denoted by  $\ell_\infty^2$ .

Mursaleen and Edely [7] introduced the concept of statistically convergence for double sequences as follows:

A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \{(k, j), k \leq m \text{ and } j \leq n : |x_{kj} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0.$$

The double sequence  $\theta_2 = \{k_r, j_u\}$  is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing sequence of integers such that

$$k_0 = 0, h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } j_0 = 0, \bar{h}_u = j_u - j_{u-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } r, u \rightarrow \infty.$$

We use the following notations in the sequel:

$$k_{ru} = k_r j_u, h_{ru} = h_r \bar{h}_u, I_{ru} = \{(k, j) : k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } j_{u-1} < j \leq j_u\}.$$

Using the double lacunary sequence concept, the concept of lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence for double sequences and similar concepts were defined by Savaş and Patterson [19] as below:

Let  $\theta_2 = \{k_r, j_u\}$  be a double lacunary sequence. A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be lacunary invariant statistically convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \left| \{(k, j) \in I_{ru} : |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . It is denoted by  $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(S_2^{\sigma\theta})$ .

A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be strongly lacunary invariant convergent to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{k,j \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . It is denoted by  $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_2^{\sigma\theta}])$ .

## 2. Statistical Lacunary Invariant Summability of Double Sequences

In this study, we give definitions of lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability, strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability and statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergence for double sequences. We also examine the existence of some relations among the definitions of statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergence, lacunary invariant statistical convergence and strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summability.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\theta_2 = \{k_r, j_u\}$  be a double lacunary sequence. A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergent to  $L$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{v,w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{vw} \left| \left\{ (k, j), k \leq v \text{ and } j \leq w : \left| \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{k,j \in I_{ru}} x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . In this case, we write  $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(S_2^{\theta\sigma})$ .

In other words, a double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergent to  $L$  if and only if the sequence

$$\left( \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{k,j \in I_{ru}} x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} \right)$$

is statistical convergent to  $L$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that  $x = (x_{kj}) \in \ell_{\infty}^2$ . If  $x$  is lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ , then this sequence is statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergent to  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{kj})$  be a bounded double sequence and lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ . Let take a set  $A(\varepsilon)$  as follows:

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{k_{r-1} \leq k \leq k_r, j_{u-1} \leq j \leq j_u : |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\},$$

for each  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} (x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in A(\varepsilon)} (x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L) \right| \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{k,j} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \right) \frac{1}{h_{ru}} |A(\varepsilon)| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $r, u \rightarrow \infty$ , which implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L \right| \rightarrow 0,$$

for all  $m$  and  $n$ . That is,  $x = (x_{kj})$  is statistical lacunary  $\sigma$ -convergent to  $L$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.3** Let  $\theta_2 = \{k_r, j_u\}$  be a double lacunary sequence. A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{k,j \in I_{ru}} x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} = L,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . In this case, we write  $x_{kj} \rightarrow L(V_2^{\sigma\theta})$ .

**Definition 2.4** Let  $\theta_2 = \{k_r, j_u\}$  be a double lacunary sequence and  $0 < p < \infty$ . A double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is said to be strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $L$  if

$$\lim_{r,u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{k,j \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . In this case, we write  $x_{kj} \rightarrow L([V_2^{\sigma\theta}]_p)$ .

**Theorem 2.5** If a double sequence  $x = (x_{kj})$  is strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $L$ , then this sequence is lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = (x_{kj})$  is strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $L$ . Then, for each  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p &= \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| < \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p &\geq \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \varepsilon^p \cdot |A(\varepsilon)|. \end{aligned}$$

So if limit is taken as  $r, u \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\varepsilon^p \cdot \lim_{r, u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \left| \{(k, j) \in I_{ru} : |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \leq \lim_{r, u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \rightarrow 0.$$

That is,  $x = (x_{kj})$  is lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ . □

**Theorem 2.6** Assume that  $x = (x_{kj}) \in \ell_\infty^2$ . If  $x$  is lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ , then this sequence is strongly  $p$ -lacunary  $\sigma$ -summable to  $L$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x = (x_{kj})$  is a bounded double sequence and lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ . Since  $x$  is bounded, there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$|x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \leq M$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . Now that  $x = (x_{kj})$  is lacunary invariant statistical convergent to  $L$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{r, u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \left| \{(k, j) \in I_{ru} : |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . Also, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p &= \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ (k,j) \in A(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \\ &+ \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ (k,j) \notin A(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \\ &= t^{(1)}(r, u) + t^{(2)}(r, u) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t^{(1)}(r, u) = \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ (k,j) \in A(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p$$

and

$$t^{(2)}(r, u) = \frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{\substack{(k,j) \in I_{ru} \\ (k,j) \notin A(\varepsilon)}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p.$$

Now if  $(k, j) \notin A(\varepsilon)$ , then  $t^{(2)}(r, u) < \varepsilon^p$ . If  $(k, j) \in A(\varepsilon)$ , then

$$t^{(1)}(r, u) \leq \left( \sup_{k,j} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L| \right) \frac{|A(\varepsilon)|}{h_{ru}} \leq M \frac{|A(\varepsilon)|}{h_{ru}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{h_{ru}} \sum_{(k,j) \in I_{ru}} |x_{\sigma^k(m), \sigma^j(n)} - L|^p \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly in  $m$  and  $n$ . □

## References

- [1] Altay, B., Başar, F. Some new spaces of double sequences. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 309(1), (2005), 70-90.
- [2] Fast, H. Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* 2(3-4), (1951), 241-244.
- [3] Fridy, J. A. On statistical convergence. *Analysis*, 5(4), (1985), 301-314.
- [4] Mohiuddine, S. A., Savaş, E. Lacunary statistically convergent double sequences in probabilistic normed spaces. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, 58(2), (2012), 331-339.
- [5] Mursaleen, M. Invariant means and some matrix transformations. *Tamkang J. Math.* 10, (1979), 183-188.
- [6] Mursaleen, M. Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces. *Houston J. Math.* 9(4), (1983), 505-509.
- [7] Mursaleen, M., Edely, O. H. H. Statistical convergence of double sequences. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 288(1), (2003), 223-231.
- [8] Mursaleen, M., Edely, O. H. H. On the invariant mean and statistical convergence. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22(11), (2009), 1700-1704.
- [9] Mursaleen, M., Alotaibi, A. Statistical lacunary summability and a Korovkin type approximation theorem. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara*, 57(2), (2011), 373-381.
- [10] Nuray, F., Savaş, E. Invariant statistical convergence and  $A$ -invariant statistical convergence. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 25(3), (1994), 267-274.
- [11] Pancaroğlu, N., Nuray, F. Statistical lacunary invariant summability. *Theoretical Mathematics and Applications*, 3(2), (2013), 71-78.
- [12] Patterson, R. F., Savaş, E. Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. *Math. Commun.* 10(1), (2005), 55-61.
- [13] Pringsheim, A. Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen. *Math. Ann.* 53(3), (1900), 289-321.
- [14] Raimi, R. A. Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability. *Duke Math. J.* 30(1), (1963), 81-94.
- [15] Šalát, T. On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. *Math. Slovaca*, 30(2), (1980), 139-150.
- [16] Savaş, E. Some sequence spaces involving invariant means. *Indian J. Math.* 31, (1989), 1-8.
- [17] Savaş, E. On lacunary strong  $\sigma$ -convergence. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 21 (1990), 359-365.
- [18] Savaş, E., Nuray, F. On  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence and lacunary  $\sigma$ -statistically convergence. *Math. Slovaca*, 43(3), (1993), 309-315.
- [19] Savaş, E., Patterson, R. F. Double  $\sigma$ -convergence lacunary statistical sequences. *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 11(4), (2009), .
- [20] Schaefer, P. Infinite matrices and invariant means. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 36(1), (1972), 104-110.
- [21] Tripathy, B. C. Statistically convergent double sequences. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 34(3), (2003), 231-237.