Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-Invariant Convergence of Double Sequences of Sets

Şükrü TORTOP and Erdinç DÜNDAR

Department of Mathematics,
Afyon Kocatepe University,
03200 Afyonkarahisar, Turkey.

ICAA-2016
July 12–15, 2016

1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. Definitions and Notations
4. Main Result
5. References

Abstract

In this paper, we study the concepts of Wijsman invariant convergence, Wijsman invariant statistical convergence, Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-invariant convergence ($\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$), Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2^*$-invariant convergence (${\mathcal{I}^*}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$), Wijsman p-strongly invariant convergence ($[W_2V_\sigma]_p$) of double sequence of sets and investigate the relationships among Wijsman invariant convergence, $[W_2V_\sigma]_p$, $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$ and ${\mathcal{I}^*}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$. Also, we introduce the concepts of $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-Cauchy double sequence and ${\mathcal{I}^*}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-Cauchy double sequence of sets.

Introduction

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive integers and $\mathbb{R}$ the set of all real numbers. The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [6] and Schoenberg [30]. This concept was extended to the double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [12].
Nuray and Ruckle [17] indepedently introduced the same with another name generalized statistical convergence. The idea of $\mathcal{I}$-convergence was introduced by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilczyński [8] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Das et al. [4] introduced the concept of $\mathcal{I}$-convergence of double sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence. A lot of development have been made in this area after the works of [5, 9, 15].

Introduction

Nuray and Rhoades [16] extended the notion of convergence of set sequences to statistical convergence and gave some basic theorems. Ulusu and Nuray [35] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of set sequences and considered its relation with Wiijsman statistical convergence, which was defined by Nuray and Rhoades. Kişi and Nuray [7] introduced a new convergence notion, for sequences of sets, which is called Wijsman $\mathcal{I}$-convergence. Also, the concept of convergence of sequences has been extended to convergence, statistical convergence and ideal convergence of sequences of sets by several authors (see, [31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39]).

Introduction

Several authors including Raimi [25], Schaefer [29], Mursaleen [14], Savaș [26], Pancaroğlu and Nuray [22], and others have studied invariant convergent sequences (see, [11, 19]). The concept of strongly σ-convergence was defined by Mursaleen [13]. Savaș and Nuray [28] introduced the concepts of σ-statistical convergence and lacunary σ-statistical convergence and gave some inclusion relations.

Introduction

Recently, the concept of strong σ-convergence was generalized by Savaș [26]. Nuray et al. [20] defined the concepts of σ-uniform density of subsets A of the set $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$-convergence and investigated relationships between $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$-convergence and invariant convergence also $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$-convergence and $[V_\sigma]_p$-convergence. Ulusu and Nuray [21] investigated lacunary $\mathcal{I}$-invariant convergence and lacunary $\mathcal{I}$-invariant Cauchy sequence of real numbers. Pancaroğlu et al. [24] studied Wijsman $\mathcal{I}$-invariant convergence of sequences of sets.

Definitions and Notations

A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if
(i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$,
(iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $B \subseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$.
An ideal is called nontrivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and nontrivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
A family of sets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a filter if and only if
(i) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$,
(iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and each $B \supseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definitions and Notations

Proposition 1

([8]) $\mathcal{I}$ is nontrivial ideal in $\mathbb{N}$ if and only if
$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I})(M = \mathbb{N} \backslash A)\}$ is a filter in $\mathbb{N}$.

A nontrivial ideal $\mathcal{I}_2$ of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible ideal if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to $\mathcal{I}_2$ for each $i \in N$.
Throughout the paper we take $\mathcal{I}_2$ as a strongly admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.
It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

Definitions and Notations

$\mathcal{I}_2^0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (\exists m(A) \in \mathbb{N})(i, j \geq m(A) \Rightarrow (i, j) \notin A)\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}_2^0$ is a strongly admissible ideal and clearly an ideal $\mathcal{I}_2$ is strongly admissible if and only if $\mathcal{I}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$.

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ in X is said to be $\mathcal{I}_2$-convergent to $L \in X$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $A(\varepsilon) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, L) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$. In this case, we say that x is $\mathcal{I}_2$-convergent and we write $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} x_{mn} = L$.

Definitions and Notations

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional ϕ on ℓ_∞, the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ-mean if and only if

1. $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n,
2. $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, 1, ...)$, and
3. $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n) \text{ for all } x \in \ell_\infty$.

Definitions and Notations

The mappings σ are one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m, where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the mth iterate of the mapping σ at n. Thus ϕ extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. In the case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the σ-mean is often called a Banach limit and V_σ, the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences [10].
It can be shown [27] that

$$V_\sigma = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \ell_\infty : \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L \ \text{ uniformly in } \ n \right\}.$$

Definitions and Notations

A bounded sequence $(x = x_k)$ is said to be strongly σ-convergent to L if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |x_{\sigma^k(m)} - L| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } \quad m$$

and in this case, we write $x_k \to L[V_\sigma]$. By $[V_\sigma]$, we denote the set of all strongly σ-convergent sequences.
In the case $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the space $[V_\sigma]$ is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences $[\hat{c}]$.

Definitions and Notations

The concept of strong σ-convergence was generalized by Savaș [26] as below:

$$[V_\sigma]_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L|^p = 0 \ \text{ uniformly in } \ n \right\},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$. If $p = 1$, then $[V_\sigma]_p = [V_\sigma]$. It is known that $[V_\sigma]_p \subset \ell_\infty$.

Definitions and Notations

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is σ-statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left| \left\{ k \leq m : |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in n . In this case we write $S_\sigma - \lim x = L$ or $x_k \to L(S_\sigma)$.

Definitions and Notations

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_n := \min_m \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(m), \sigma^2(m), ..., \sigma^n(m) \right\} \right|$$

and

$$S_n := \max_m \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(m), \sigma^2(m), ..., \sigma^n(m) \right\} \right|.$$

If the following limits exist

$$\underline{V}(A) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{s_n}{n}, \qquad \overline{V}(A) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n}{n}$$

then they are called a lower and an upper σ-uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$, then $V(A) = \underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$ is called the σ-uniform density of A.

Definitions and Notations

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$ the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $V(A) = 0$.
A sequence (x_k) is said to be $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$-convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ $A_\varepsilon = \left\{k : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}_\sigma$, that is, $V(A_\varepsilon) = 0$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_\sigma - \lim x_k = L$.
Let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space. For any point $x \in X$ and any non-empty subset A of X, we define the distance from x to A by

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} \rho(x, a).$$

Definitions and Notations

Throughout the paper, we let (X, ρ) be a separable metric space and A, A_{kj} be any non-empty closed subsets of X.
A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is Wijsman convergent to A if

$$P - \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A) \quad or \quad \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$$

for each $x \in X$. In this case, we write $W_2 - \lim A_{kj} = A$.
A double sequence of sets $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}$-convergent to A if for each $x \in X$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_{W_2} - \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$.

Definitions and Notations

A double sequence of sets $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{*}_{W_2}$-convergent to A if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ (i.e., $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\backslash M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2$) such that for each $x \in X$

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j\to\infty \\ (k,j)\in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}^{*}_{W_2} - \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$.

A double sequence of sets $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_2$-Cauchy sequence if for each $x \in X$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists (p, q) in $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{pq})| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$.

Definitions and Notations

A double sequence of sets $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}^*_{W_2}$-Cauchy if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ $(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \backslash M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2)$ such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim\limits_{k,j,p,q \to \infty} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{pq})| = 0$, for $(k,j),(p,q) \in M_2$.
A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be bounded if $\sup\limits_{k,j} d(x, A_{kj}) < \infty$, for each $x \in X$. The set of all bounded double sequences of sets will be denoted by L^2_∞.

Definitions and Notations

A sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman invariant convergent to A if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} d(x, A_{\sigma^k(m)}) = d(x, A), \text{ uniformly in m.}$$

A sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman strongly invariant convergent to A if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |d(x, A_{\sigma^k(m)}) - d(x, A)| = 0, \text{ uniformly in m.}$$

A sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman invariant statistical convergent to A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{0 \leq k \leq n : |d(x, A_{\sigma^k(m)}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

uniformly in m.

Definitions and Notations

A sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman p-strongly invariant convergent to A if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |d(x, A_{\sigma^k(m)}) - d(x, A)|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in m},$$

where $0 < p < \infty$.

A sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman $\mathcal{I}$-invariant convergent or $\mathcal{I}_\sigma^W$-convergent to A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,
$A_\varepsilon = \{k : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_\sigma$ that is, $V(A_\varepsilon) = 0$. In this case, we write $A_k \to A(\mathcal{I}_\sigma^W)$ and the set of all Wijsman $\mathcal{I}$-invariant convergent sequences of sets will be denoted $\mathcal{I}_\sigma^W$.

Definitions and Notations

An admissible ideal $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the property (AP2) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets $\{E_1, E_2, ...\}$ belonging to $\mathcal{I}_2$, there exists a countable family of sets $\{F_1, F_2, ...\}$ such that $E_j \Delta F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2^0$, i.e., $E_j \Delta F_j$ is included in the finite union of rows and columns in $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ (hence $F_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$).

Main Result

In this section, we study the concepts of Wijsman invariant convergence, Wijsman invariant statistical convergence, Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-invariant convergence, Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2^*$-invariant convergence, Wijsman p-strongly invariant convergence double sequence of sets and investigate the relationships among Wijsman invariant convergence, $[W_2 V_\sigma]_p$, $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma$ and ${\mathcal{I}^*}_{W_2}^\sigma$. Also, we introduce the concepts of $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma$-Cauchy double sequence and ${\mathcal{I}^*}_{W_2}^\sigma$-Cauchy double sequence of sets.

Main Result

Definition 1

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_{mn} := \min_{k,j} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(k), \sigma(j)\right), \left(\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j)\right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j)\right) \right\} \right|$$

and

$$S_{mn} := \max_{k,j} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(k), \sigma(j)\right), \left(\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j)\right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j)\right) \right\} \right|.$$

If the following limits exists

$$\underline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{s_{mn}}{mn}, \qquad \overline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}$$

then they are called a lower and an upper σ-uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$, then $V_2(A) = \underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$ is called the σ-uniform density of A.

Main Result

Definition 2

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman invariant convergent to A if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) = d(x, A), \text{ uniformly in s,t.}$$

Main Result

Definition 3

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-invariant convergent or $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2}$-convergent to A, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \{(k,j) : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_2$$

that is, $V_2(A(\varepsilon, x)) = 0$. In this case, we write $A_{kj} \to A(\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2})$ and the set of all Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-invariant convergent double sequences of sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2}$.

Main Result

Definition 4

Let $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2^{*}$-invariant convergent or $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma}$-convergent to A if and only if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma})$ $(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\backslash M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma})$ such that for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j\to\infty \\ (k,j)\in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

Main Result

Theorem 5

Let $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal. If a sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma}$-convergent to A, then this sequence is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-convergent to A.

Main Result

Proof: Since $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma} - \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A)$, there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ $(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}\backslash M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma)$ such that for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j\to\infty \\ (k,j)\in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

Main Result

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $x \in X$,

$$|d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $(k, j) \in M_2$ and $k, j \geq k_0$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T \quad & (\varepsilon, x) = \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ \subset \quad & H \cup \Big(M_2 \cap ((\{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\})) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Main Result

Since $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ is a strongly admissible ideal,

$$H \cup \Big(M_2 \cap \left(\left(\{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N} \right) \cup \left(\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \right) \right) \Big) \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma,$$

so we have $T(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$ that is $V_2(T(\varepsilon, x)) = 0.$ Hence,

$$\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma - \lim_{k,j\to\infty} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

Main Result

Theorem 6

Let $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal with property $(AP2)$. If $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma$-convergent to A, then $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma}$-convergent to A.

Main Result

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma$ satisfies property $(AP2)$. Let $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma$-convergent to A. Then,

$$T(\varepsilon, x) = T_\varepsilon = \{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \tag{4.1}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$. Put

$$T_1 = T(1, x) = \{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq 1\}$$

and

$$T_v = T(v, x) = \left\{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{v} \leq |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{v-1}\right\}$$

for $v \geq 2$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$.

Main Result

Obviously $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $T_i \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By property $(AP2)$ there exits a sequence of sets $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_i \Delta E_i$ is included in finite union of rows and columns in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ for each i and $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma}$.

We shall prove that for $M_2 = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \backslash E$ we have

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \to \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

Main Result

Let $\eta > 0$ be given. Choose $v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{v} < \eta$. Then,

$$\{(k,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| \geq \eta\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{v} T_i$$

Main Result

Since $T_i \Delta E_i$, $i = 1, 2, ...$ are included in finite union of rows and columns, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{v} T_i\right) \cap \{(k,j) : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{v} E_i\right) \cap \{(k,j) : k \geq n_0 \wedge j \geq n_0\}. \tag{4.2}$$

If $k, j > n_0$ and $(k,j) \notin E$, then

$$(k,j) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{v} E_i \text{ and } (k,j) \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{v} T_i.$$

This implies that

$$|d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A)| < \frac{1}{v} < \eta.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{k,j \to \infty \\ (k,j) \in M_2}} d(x, A_{kj}) = d(x, A).$$

Main Result

Definition 7

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-invariant Cauchy sequence or $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2}$-Cauchy sequence, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$, there exist numbers $r = r(\varepsilon, x), s = s(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$A(\varepsilon, x) = \left\{(k, j) : |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{rs})| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{2},$$

that is, $V_2\big(A(\varepsilon, x)\big) = 0$.

Main Result

Definition 8

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{*\sigma}_{W_2}$-Cauchy if there exists a set $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_2)$ (i.e., $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \backslash M_2 = H \in \mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_2$) such that for every $x \in X$ and $(k,j),(p,q) \in M_2$

$$\lim_{k,j,p,q \to \infty} |d(x, A_{kj}) - d(x, A_{pq})| = 0.$$

We give following theorems which show relationships between $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2}$-convergence, $\mathcal{I}^{\sigma}_{W_2}$-Cauchy sequence and $\mathcal{I}^{*\sigma}_{W_2}$-Cauchy sequence. The proof of them are similar to the proof of Theorems in [5, 18], so we omit them.

Main Result

Theorem 9

If a double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-convergent, then $\{A_{kj}\}$ is an $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-Cauchy double sequence of sets.

Theorem 10

If a double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma}$-Cauchy double sequence, then $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-Cauchy double sequence of sets.

Theorem 11

Let $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma}$ has property $(AP2)$. Then, the concepts $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-Cauchy sequence and $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{*\sigma}$-Cauchy sequence of sets coincides.

Main Result

Definition 12

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman strongly invariant convergent to A, if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right| = 0, \text{ uniformly in s,t.}$$

Main Result

Definition 13

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman p-strongly invariant convergent to A, if for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in s,t.}$$

where $0 < p < \infty$. In this case, we write $A_k \to A[W_2 V_\sigma]_p$ and the set of all Wijsman p-strongly invariant convergent sequences of sets will be denoted by $[W_2 V_\sigma]_p$.

Main Result

Theorem 14

Let $\{A_{kj}\}$ is bounded sequence. If $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma}$-convergent to A, then $\{A_{kj}\}$ is Wijsman invariant convergent to A.

Main Result

Proof: Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x \in X$, we estimate

$$u(s,t,m,n,x) = \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A) \right|.$$

Then, for each $x \in X$ we have

$$u(s,t,m,n,x) \leq u^1(s,t,m,n,x) + u^2(s,t,m,n,x)$$

Main Result

where

$$u^1(s,t,m,n,x) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|\geq\varepsilon}}^{m,n} |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)|$$

and

$$u^2(s,t,m,n,x) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|<\varepsilon}}^{m,n} |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)|.$$

Main Result

Therefore, we have

$$u^2(s,t,m,n,x) < \varepsilon,$$

for each $x \in X$ and for every $s,t = 1,2,\dots$. The boundedness of $\{A_{kj}\}$ implies that there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$|d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)| \leq L, \quad (k,s,j,t = 1,2,\dots),$$

then this implies that

$$u^1(s,t,m,n,x) \leq \frac{L}{mn}|\{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n : |d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\leq L\frac{\max_{s,t} |\{1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n : |d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{mn}$$

$$= L\frac{S_{mn}}{mn}.$$

Hence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is Wijsman invariant convergent to A.

Main Result

Theorem 15

Let $\mathcal{I}_2^\sigma \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$ *be a strongly admissible ideal and* $0 < p < \infty$.

(i) *If* $A_{kj} \to A([W_2V_\sigma]_p)$, *then* $A_{kj} \to A(\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma)$.

(ii) *If* $\{A_{kj}\} \in L_\infty^2$ *and* $A_{kj} \to A(\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma)$, *then* $A_{kj} \to A([W_2V_\sigma]_p)$.

(iii) *If* $\{A_{kj}\} \in L_\infty^2$, *then* $\{A_{kj}\}$ *is* $\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^\sigma$*-convergent to* A *if and only if* $A_{kj} \to A([W_2V_\sigma]_p)$.

Main Result

(i) : Assume that $A_{kj} \to A([W_2 V_\sigma]_p)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} & \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right|^p \\
\geq & \sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1 \\ |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x,A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^{m,n} \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right|^p \\
\geq & \varepsilon^p \left| \left\{ k \leq m, j \leq n : \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\
\geq & \varepsilon^p \max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ k \leq m, j \leq n : \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Main Result

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n}\left|d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)\right|^p \\
\geq \quad & \varepsilon^p.\frac{\max_{s,t}\left|\{k\leq m, j\leq n : |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|\geq\varepsilon\}\right|}{mn} \\
= \quad & \varepsilon^p\frac{S_{mn}}{mn}
\end{aligned}$$

Main Result

for every $s, t = 1, 2, \ldots$. This implies

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} = 0$$

and so $\{A_{kj}\}$ is $(\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma})$-convergent to A.

Main Result

(ii): Suppose that $\{A_{kj}\} \in L_{\infty}^{2}$ and $A_{kj} \to A(\mathcal{I}_{W_2}^{\sigma})$. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption we have $V_2(A_\varepsilon) = 0$. Since $\{A_{kj}\}$ is bounded, $\{A_{kj}\}$ implies that there exists $L > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$,

$$|d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A)| \leq L$$

for all k, s, j and t.

Main Result

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n}\left|d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)\right|^p\\
= &\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1\\ |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|\geq\varepsilon}}^{m,n}|d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|^p\\
+ &\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{\substack{k,j=1,1\\ |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|<\varepsilon}}^{m,n}|d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|^p\\
\leq &L\frac{\max_{s,t}\left|\{k\leq m, j\leq n: |d(x,A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)})-d(x,A)|\geq\varepsilon\}\right|}{mn}+\varepsilon^p\\
\leq &L\frac{S_{mn}}{mn}+\varepsilon^p,
\end{aligned}$$

Main Result

for each $x \in X$ we obtain

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} \left| d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A) \right|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in s,t.}$$

(iii) : This is immediate consequence of (i) and (ii).

Main Result

Definition 16

A double sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is said to be Wijsman invariant statistical convergent or W_2S_σ-convergent to A, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \left|\left\{k \leq m, j \leq n : \left|d(x, A_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)}) - d(x, A)\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right| = 0,$$

uniformly in s,t.

Theorem 17

A sequence $\{A_{kj}\}$ is W_2S_σ-convergent to A if and only if it is $\mathcal{I}^\sigma_{W_2}$-convergent to A.

References I

[1] M. Baronti, and P. Papini, *Convergence of sequences of sets*, In: Methods of functional analysis in approximation theory, ISNM 76, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, *pp. 133-155*, (1986).

[2] G. Beer, *On convergence of closed sets in a metric space and distance functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **31** (1985), 421–432.

[3] G. Beer, *Wijsman convergence: A survey*, Set-Valued Var. Anal. **2** (1994), 77–94.

[4] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, P. Malik, *I and I^*-convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, **58** (5) (2008), 605–620.

[5] E. Dndar, B. Altay, *$\mathcal{I}_2$-convergence and $\mathcal{I}_2$-Cauchy of double sequences*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **34**B(2) (2014), 343–353.

[6] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951) 241–244.

References II

[7] Kişi, Ö. and Nuray, F. *A new convergence for sequences of sets*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2013, Article ID 852796, 6 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.-1155/2013/852796.

[8] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński, *$\mathcal{I}$-Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26**(2) (2000) 669–686.

[9] V. Kumar, *On I and I^*-convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun. **12** (2007), 171–181.

[10] G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Math. **80** (1948) 167–190.

[11] M. Mursaleen, O. H. H. Edely, *On the invariant mean and statistical convergence*, Appl. Math. Lett. **22** (2009) 1700–1704.

[12] Mursaleen, O. H. H. Edely, *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288** (2003) 223–231.

References III

[13] M. Mursaleen, *Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math. **9** (1983) 505–509.

[14] M. Mursaleen, *On finite matrices and invariant means*, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. **10** (1979) 457–460.

[15] A. Nabiev, S. Pehlivan, M. Gürdal, *On $\mathcal{I}$-Cauchy sequences*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11**(2) (2007) 569–5764.

[16] F. Nuray, B. E. Rhoades, *Statistical convergence of sequences of sets*, Fasc. Math. **49** (2012), 87–99.

[17] F. Nuray, W.H. Ruckle, *Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **245** (2000), 513–527.

[18] F. Nuray, E. Dndar, U. Ulusu, *Wijsman $\mathcal{I}_2$-convergence of double sequences of closed sets*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Letters, **2** (2014), 35-39.

References IV

[19] F. Nuray, E. Savaş, *Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1994) 267–274.

[20] F. Nuray, H. Gök, U. Ulusu, $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$*-convergence*, Math. Commun. **16** (2011) 531–538.

[21] U. Ulusu, F. Nuray, *Lacunary* $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$*-convergence*, (Under Comminication).

[22] N. Pancaroğlu, F. Nuray, *Statistical lacunary invariant summability*, Theoretical Mathematics and Applications **3**(2) (2013) 71–78.

[23] N. Pancaroğlu, F. Nuray, *On Invariant Statistically Convergence and Lacunary Invariant Statistically Convergence of Sequences of Sets*, Progress in Applied Mathematics, **5**(2) (2013), 23–29.

References V

[24] N. Pancaroğlu, E. Dndar and F. Nuray, *Wijsman $\mathcal{I}$-Invariant Convergence of Sequences of Sets*, (Under Communication).

[25] R. A. Raimi, *Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963) 81–94.

[26] E. Savaş, *Some sequence spaces involving invariant means*, Indian J. Math. **31** (1989) 1–8.

[27] E. Savaş, *Strong σ-convergent sequences*, Bull. Calcutta Math. **81** (1989) 295–300.

[28] E. Savaş, F. Nuray, *On σ-statistically convergence and lacunary σ-statistically convergence*, Math. Slovaca **43**(3) (1993) 309–315.

[29] P. Schaefer, *Infinite matrices and invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972) 104–110.

References VI

[30] I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly **66** (1959) 361–375.

[31] Y. Sever, U. Ulusu and E. Dndar, *On Strongly I and I^*-Lacunary Convergence of Sequences of Sets*, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1611, 357 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4893860, 7 pages.

[32] Y. Sever, . Talo, *On Statistical Convergence of Double Sequences of Closed Sets*, Filomat **30**(3) (2016), 533–539, DOI 10.2298/FIL1603533S.

[33] Y. Sever, . Talo, B. Altay, *On convergence of double sequences of closed sets*, Contemp. Anal. Appl. Math. **3** (2015), 30–49.

[34] . Talo, Y. Sever, F. Başar, *On statistically convergent sequences of closed set*, Filomat, **30**(6) (2016), (In press).

References VII

[35] U. Ulusu, F. Nuray, *Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets*, Progress in Applied Mathematics, **4**(2) (2012), 99–109.

[36] U. Ulusu and E. Dndar, *$\mathcal{I}$-Lacunary Statistical Convergence of Sequences of Sets,* Filomat, **28**(8) (2013), 1567–1574.

[37] U. Ulusu, F. Nuray, *On Strongly Lacunary Summability of Sequences of Sets,* Journal of Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics, **3**(3) (2013), 75-88.

[38] R. A. Wijsman, *Convergence of sequences of convex sets, cones and functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 186–188.

[39] R. A. Wijsman, *Convergence of Sequences of Convex sets, Cones and Functions II,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1) (1966), 32–45.

Thanks for your attention.