ASYMPTOTICALLY LACUNARY *I*-INVARIANT EQUIVALENCE OF SEQUENCES DEFINED BY A MODULUS FUNCTION

NİMET P. AKIN, ERDİNÇ DÜNDAR AND UĞUR ULUSU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concepts of strongly asymptotically lacunary ideal invariant equivalence, f-asymptotically lacunary ideal invariant equivalence, strongly f-asymptotically lacunary ideal invariant equivalence and asymptotically lacunary ideal invariant statistical equivalence for sequences. Also, we investigate some relationships among them.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all natural numbers and \mathbb{R} the set of all real numbers. The concept of convergence of a real sequence has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [1], Schoenberg [24] and studied by many authors. The idea of \mathcal{I} -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [2] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal \mathcal{I} of subset of \mathbb{N} .

Several authors including Raimi [17], Schaefer [23], Mursaleen and Edely [7], Mursaleen [9], Savaş [18, 19], Nuray and Savaş [11], Pancaroğlu and Nuray [13] and some authors have studied invariant convergent sequences. The concept of strongly σ -convergence was defined by Mursaleen [8]. Savaş and Nuray [20] introduced the concepts of σ -statistical convergence and lacunary σ -statistical convergence and gave some inclusion relations. Nuray et al. [12] defined the concepts of σ -uniform density of a subset A of the set \mathbb{N} , \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence and investigated relationships between \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence and invariant convergence also \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence and $[V_{\sigma}]_p$ -convergence. Pancaroğlu and Nuray [13] studied Statistical lacunary invariant summability. Recently, Nuray and Ulusu [25] investigated lacunary \mathcal{I} invariant convergence and lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant Cauchy sequence of real numbers.

Marouf [6] peresented definitions for asymptotically equivalent and asymptotic regular matrices. Patterson [14] presented asymptotically statistical equivalent sequences for nonnegative summability matrices. Patterson and Savaş [15, 22] introduced asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences and also asymptotically $\sigma\theta$ -statistical equivalent sequences. Ulusu [26, 27] studied asymptotically ideal invariant equivalence and asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I}_{σ} -equivalence.

Modulus function was introduced by Nakano [10]. Maddox [5], Pehlivan [16] and many authors used a modulus function f to define some new concepts and inclusion theorems. Kumar and Sharma [3] studied lacunary equivalent sequences by ideals and modulus function.

Now, we recall the basic concepts and some definitions and notations (See [2, 4, 5, 6, 12, 14, 16]).

Let σ be a mapping of the positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on ℓ_{∞} , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ mean, if and only if,

- (1) $\phi(x) \ge 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \ge 0$ for all n,
- (2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where e = (1, 1, 1...),
- (3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in \ell_{\infty}$.

The mappings ϕ are assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m, where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the mth iterate of the mapping σ at n. Thus ϕ extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. In case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the σ mean is often called a Banach limit and V_{σ} , the set of

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A99, 40A05.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotically equivalence, Lacunary invariant equivalence, \mathcal{I} -equivalence, Modulus function.

bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences.

By a lacunary sequence we mean an increasing integer sequence $\theta = \{k_r\}$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Throughout the paper, we let θ a lacunary sequence.

The sequence $x = (x_k)$ is $S_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to L, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \text{ uniformly in n=1,2,...}$$

A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if

 $(i) \ \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (ii) \ \text{For each } A, B \in \mathcal{I} \ \text{we have} \ A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (iii) \ \text{For each } A \in \mathcal{I} \ \text{and each} \ B \subseteq A \ \text{we have} \ B \in \mathcal{I}.$

An ideal is called nontrivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and nontrivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout the paper we let \mathcal{I} be an admissible ideal.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_m = \min_n \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), ..., \sigma^m(n) \right\} \right| \text{ and } S_m = \max_n \left| A \cap \left\{ \sigma(n), \sigma^2(n), ..., \sigma^m(n) \right\} \right|.$$

If the limits $\underline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{s_m}{m}$ and $\overline{V}(A) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{S_m}{m}$ exist then, they are called a lower σ -uniform density and an upper σ -uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$, then $V(A) = \underline{V}(A) = \overline{V}(A)$ is called the σ -uniform density of A.

Denote by \mathcal{I}_{σ} the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with V(A) = 0.

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $A_{\varepsilon} = \{k : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I}_{σ} , i.e., $V(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma} - \lim x_k = L$.

Let $\theta = \{k_r\}$ be a lacunary sequence, $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_r = \min_n \left\{ |A \cap \{\sigma^m(n) : m \in I_r\}| \right\}$$
 and $S_r = \max_n \left\{ |A \cap \{\sigma^m(n) : m \in I_r\}| \right\}.$

If the limits $\underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{s_r}{h_r}$ and $\overline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{S_r}{h_r}$ exist then, they are called a lower lacunary σ -uniform density and an upper lacunary σ -uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \overline{V}_{\theta}(A)$, then $V_{\theta}(A) = \underline{V}_{\theta}(A) = \overline{V}_{\theta}(A)$ is called the lacunary σ -uniform density of A.

Denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $V_{\theta}(A) = 0$.

A sequence (x_k) is said to be lacunary \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergent or $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ -convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $A_{\varepsilon} = \{k : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$, i.e., $V_{\theta}(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta} - \lim x_k = L$.

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent if $\lim_{k \to y_k} \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1$ (denoted by $x \sim y$).

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are strongly asymptotically lacunary invariant equivalent of multiple L if $\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| = 0$, uniformly in m (denoted by $x \overset{N_{\sigma^\theta}}{\sim} y$) and strongly simply asymptotically lacunary invariant equivalent if L = 1.

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically lacunary invariant statistical equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r} \frac{1}{h_{r}} \left| \left\{ k \in I_{r} : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^{k}(m)}}{y_{\sigma^{k}(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0, \text{ uniformly in m}$$

(denoted by $x \overset{S_{\sigma\theta}}{\sim} y$) and simply asymptotically lacunary invariant statistical equivalent if L = 1.

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly asymptotically equivalent of multiple L with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \stackrel{\mathcal{I}(\omega)}{\sim} y_k$) and simply strongly asymptotically equivalent with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} , if L = 1.

ASYMPTOTICALLY LACUNARY I-INVARIANT EQUIVALENCE OF SEQUENCES DEFINED BY A MODULUS FUNCTION

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple L respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}(N_{\theta})]}{\sim} y_k$) and simply strongly asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -equivalent with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} , if L = 1.

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent of multiple L with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \gamma \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}_{\theta})}{\sim} y_k$) and simply asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -statistical equivalent if L = 1.

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I}_{σ} -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $A_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$, i.e., $V(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. It is denoted by $x_k \stackrel{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^L]}{\sim} y_k.$

The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $A_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$, i.e., $V_{\theta}(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. It is denoted

by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^L]}{\sim} y_k$.

A function $f:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is called a modulus if

- (1) f(x) = 0 if and if only if x = 0,
- (2) $f(x+y) \le f(x) + f(y)$,
- (3) f is increasing,
- (4) f is continuous from the right at 0.

A modulus may be unbounded (for example $f(x) = x^p$, 0) or bounded (for example $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}).$

Let f be modulus function. The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be f-asymptotically equivalent of multiple L with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} provided that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : f\left(\left|\frac{x_k}{y_k} - L\right|\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}(f)}{\sim} y_k$) and simply *f*-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -equivalent if L = 1.

Let f be modulus function. The two nonnegative sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly f-asymptotically equivalent of multiple L with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} provided that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \stackrel{\mathcal{I}(\omega_f)}{\sim} y_k$)) and simply strongly *f*-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -equivalent if L = 1.

Let f be a modulus function. The two nonnegative $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly f-asymptotically lacunary equivalent of multiple L with respect to the ideal \mathcal{I} provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0,$

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}(N_f^f)]}{\sim} y_k$)) and simply strongly *f*-asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -equivalent if L = 1.

The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{I}}]}{\sim} y_k$) and simply strongly asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent if L = 1.

Let f be a modulus function. The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be f-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : f\left(\left|\frac{x_k}{y_k} - L\right|\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^L(f)}{\sim} y_k$) and simply f-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent if L = 1. Let f be a modulus function. The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly f-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma}^L(f)]}{\sim} y_k$)) and simply strongly f-asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent if L = 1. The sequences x_k and y_k are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant statistical equivalent of multiple

L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\gamma > 0$,

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ k \le n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \gamma \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{c}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}_{\sigma})}{\sim} y_k$) and simply asymptotically \mathcal{I} -invariant statistical equivalent if L = 1.

Lemma 1. [16] Let f be a modulus and $0 < \delta < 1$. Then, for each $x \ge \delta$ we have $f(x) \le 2f(1)\delta^{-1}x$.

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1. The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{O}}^L]}{\sim} y_k$) and simply strongly asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent if L = 1.

Definition 2.2. Let f be a modulus function. The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be f-asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : f\left(\left|\frac{x_k}{y_k} - L\right|\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta},$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma \phi}^L(f)}{\sim} y_k$) and simply f-asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent if L = 1.

Definition 2.3. Let f be a modulus function. The sequences $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ are said to be strongly f-asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant equivalent of multiple L, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \right) \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$$

 $(denoted by x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_{\theta}}^{\mathcal{L}}(f)]}{\sim} y_k)) \text{ and simply strongly f-asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I}-invariant equivalent if}$ L = 1.

Theorem 2.1. Let f be a modulus function. Then,

$$x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^L]}{\sim} y_k \Rightarrow x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^L(f)]}{\sim} y_k$$

Proof. Let $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma \theta}^L]}{\sim} y_k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \le t \le \delta$. Then, for $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we can write

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r}} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) \\ \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \le \delta$$
$$+ \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r}} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) \\ \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| > \delta$$

and so by Lemma 1

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) < \varepsilon + \left(\frac{2f(1)}{\delta} \right) \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right|$$

uniformly in m. Thus, for each any $\gamma > 0$

$$\left\{r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L\right|\right) \ge \gamma\right\} \subseteq \left\{r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L\right| \ge \frac{(\gamma - \varepsilon)\delta}{2f(1)}\right\},$$

uniformly in *m*. Since $x_k \stackrel{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^h]}{\sim} y_k$, it follows the later set and hence, the first set in above expression belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$. This proves that $x_k \stackrel{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^h(f)]}{\sim} y_k$.

Definition 2.4. The sequences x_k and y_k are said to be asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant statistical equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\gamma > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_k}{y_k} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \gamma \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$$

(denoted by $x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}_{\sigma\theta})}{\sim} y_k$) and simply asymptotically lacunary \mathcal{I} -invariant statistical equivalent if L = 1.

Theorem 2.2. Let f be a modulus function. Then,

$$x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma_\theta}^L(f)]}{\sim} y_k \Rightarrow x_k \overset{\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{S}_{\sigma_\theta})}{\sim} y_k.$$

Proof. Assume that $x_k \overset{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\varphi}^{\mathcal{L}}(f)]}{\sim} y_k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since for $m = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) &\geq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ y_{\sigma^k(m)}}} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) \\ & \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \\ &\geq f(\varepsilon) \cdot \frac{1}{h_r} \left| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$\left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \Big| \left\{ k \in I_r : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \Big| \ge \gamma \right\} \subseteq \left\{ r \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} f\left(\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(m)}}{y_{\sigma^k(m)}} - L \right| \right) \ge \gamma f(\varepsilon) \right\},$$

uniformly in *m*. Since $x_k \stackrel{[\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}^{(f)}(f)]}{\sim} y_k$, the last set belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$ and so by the definition of an ideal, the first set belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\sigma\theta}$. Therefore, $x_k \stackrel{\mathcal{I}(S_{\sigma\theta})}{\sim} y_k$.

References

- [1] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [2] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, W. Wilczyński, *I-Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000), 669–686.
- [3] V. Kumar, A. Sharma, Asymptotically lacunary equivalent sequences defined by ideals and modulus function, Mathematical Sciences. 6(23) (2012), 5 pages.
- [4] G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167–190.
- [5] I. J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 100 (1986), 161-166.
- [6] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 16(4) (1993), 755-762.
- [7] M. Mursaleen, O. H. H. Edely, On the invariant mean and statistical convergence, Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), 1700–1704.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, Houston J. Math. 9 (1983), 505–509.
- [9] M. Mursaleen, On finite matrices and invariant means, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 10 (1979), 457-460.
- [10] H. Nakano, Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [11] F. Nuray, E. Savaş, Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1994), 267–274.
- [12] F. Nuray, H. Gök, U. Ulusu, \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence, Math. Commun. 16 (2011), 531–538.
- [13] N. Pancaroğlu, F. Nuray, Statistical lacunary invariant summability, Theoretical Mathematics and Applications, 3(2) (2013), 71–78.
- [14] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demostratio Mathematica, 36(1) (2003), 149–153.
- [15] R. F. Patterson and E. Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math. 4(2) (2006), 267–272.
- [16] S. Pehlivan, B. Fisher, Some sequences spaces defined by a modulus, Mathematica Slovaca, 45 (1995), 275-280.
- [17] R. A. Raimi, Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, Duke Math. J. 30 (1963), 81-94.
- [18] E. Savaş, Some sequence spaces involving invariant means, Indian J. Math. **31** (1989), 1–8.
- [19] E. Savaş, Strong σ -convergent sequences, Bull. Calcutta Math. 81 (1989), 295–300.
- [20] E. Savaş, F. Nuray, On σ -statistically convergence and lacunary σ -statistically convergence, Math. Slovaca, **43**(3) (1993), 309–315.
- [21] E. Savaş, On *I*-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2013**(111) (2013), doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111.
- [22] E. Savaş and R. F. Patterson, σ -asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences, Central European Journal of Mathematics, 4(4) (2006), 648-655.
- [23] P. Schaefer, Infinite matrices and invariant means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 104–110.
- [24] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly, 66 (1959), 361–375.
- [25] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, Lacunary \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence, (Under Comminication)
- [26] U. Ulusu, Asymptotoically Lacunary \mathcal{I}_{σ} -equivalence, Afyon Kocatepe University Journal of Science and Engineering, 17(2017), 031301, 899-905.
- [27] U. Ulusu, Asymptotoically ideal invariant equivalence, Creat. Math. nform., 27(2018), 215-220.

Deparment of Mathematics and Science Education , Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

E-mail address: npancaroglu@aku.edu.tr, edundar@aku.edu.tr, ulusu@aku.edu.tr