DEMONSTRATIO MATHEMATICA Vol. XLVII No 3 2014

ESCI

# Erdinç Dündar, Celal Çakan

### ROUGH I-CONVERGENCE

**Abstract.** In this work, using the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and using the concept of rough convergence, we introduced the notion of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence and obtained two rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence criteria associated with this set. Later, we proved that this set is closed and convex. Finally, we examined the relations between the set of  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster points and the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence.

# 1. Background and introduction

The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [4] and Schoenberg [15]. A lot of developments have been made in this area after the works of Aytar [1], Fridy [5], Miller [8] and Šalát [14]. In general, statistically convergent sequences satisfy many of the properties of ordinary convergent sequences in metric spaces.

The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [6] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers. Nuray and Ruckle [9] independently introduced the same with another name generalized statistical convergence. Kostyrko et al. [7] studied the idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points and Demirci [3] studied the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit superior and limit inferior. Šalát, Tripathy and Ziman [13] introduced the notion of  $c_A^{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $m_A^{\mathcal{I}}$ , the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence field and bounded  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence field of an infinite matrix A.

The idea of rough convergence was first introduced by Phu [10] in finite-dimensional normed spaces. In [10], he showed that the set  $LIM^rx$  is bounded, closed, and convex; and he introduced the notion of rough Cauchy sequence. He also investigated the relations between rough convergence and other

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 40A35.

Key words and phrases: ideal, rough convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence.

convergence types and the dependence of  $LIM^rx$  on the roughness degree r. In another paper [11] related to this subject, he defined the rough continuity of linear operators and showed that every linear operator  $f:X\to Y$  is r-continuous at every point  $x\in X$  under the assumption  $dimY<\infty$  and r>0 where X and Y are normed spaces. In [12], he extended the results given in [10] to infinite-dimensional normed spaces.

In [1], Aytar studied rough statistical convergence and defined the set of rough statistical limit points of a sequence and obtained two statistical convergence criteria associated with this set and prove that this set is closed and convex. Also in [2], Aytar studied that the r-limit set of the sequence is equal to the intersection of these sets and that r-core of the sequence is equal to the union of these sets.

In this paper, using the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and using the concept of rough convergence, we introduce the notion of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence. Defining the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence, we obtain two  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence criteria associated with this set. Later, we prove that this set is closed and convex. Finally, we examine the relations between the set of  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster points and the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence. We note that our results and proof techniques presented in this paper are  $\mathcal{I}$  analogues of those in Phu's [10] paper and Aytar's [1] paper. The actual origin of most of these results and proof techniques is in those papers. Our theorems and results are the  $\mathcal{I}$ -extension of theorems and results in [1, 10].

Let K be a subset of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N}$  and let us denote the set  $K_i = \{k \in K : k \leq i\}$ . Then the natural density of K is given by

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{|K_i|}{i},$$

where  $|K_i|$  denotes the number of elements in  $K_i$ .

Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers,  $\chi_A$ -the characteristic function of  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all real numbers. Recall that a subset A of  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to have asymptotic density d(A) if

$$d(A) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^{i} \chi_A(k).$$

**DEFINITION 1.1.** [4] A sequence  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $d(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : |x_i - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$ .

Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the real *n*-dimensional space with the norm  $\|.\|$ . Consider a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  such that  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.** [1] A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , written as st-lim x = L, provided that the set

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - L|| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

has natural density zero for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In this case, L is called the statistical limit of the sequence x.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A class  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided:

i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ , iii)  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is called a nontrivial ideal if  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.4.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A non empty class  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of X is said to be a filter in X provided:

i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ , iii)  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \subset B$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**LEMMA 1.5.** [6] If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial ideal in X,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , then the class

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{ M \subset X : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I})(M = X \backslash A) \}$$

is a filter on X, called the filter associated with  $\mathcal{I}$ .

A nontrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in X is called admissible if  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.6.** ([6], Example 3.1.) Denote by  $\mathcal{I}_d$  the class of all  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  with d(A) = 0. Then  $\mathcal{I}_d$  is non-trivial admissible ideal and  $\mathcal{I}_d$ -convergence coincides with the statistical convergence.

Throughout the paper, we take  $\mathcal{I}$  as a nontrivial admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.7.** [6] Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a linear metric space and  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be a non-trivial ideal. A sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of X is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $\xi \in X$  ( $\mathcal{I} - \lim_{i \to \infty} x_i = \xi$ ) if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $A(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_i, \xi) \geq \varepsilon\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ . The element  $\xi$  is called the  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit of the sequence  $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{I}$  is an admissible ideal, then usual convergence in X implies  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence in X.

**DEFINITION 1.8.** [3] For a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  of real numbers, the notions of ideal limit superior and ideal limit inferior are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{I} - \limsup x = \begin{cases} \sup B_x, & \text{if } B_x \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty, & \text{if } B_x = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim \inf x = \begin{cases} \inf A_x, & \text{if } A_x \neq \emptyset, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } A_x = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $A_x = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i < a\} \notin \mathcal{I}\}$  and  $B_x = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \{i \in \mathbb{N} : x_i > b\} \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$ 

Throughout the paper, let r be a nonnegative real number. The sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be r-convergent to  $x_*$ , denoted by  $x_i \to^r x_*$  provided that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists i_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N} : i \ge i_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow ||x_i - x_*|| < r + \varepsilon.$$

The set

$$LIM^r x := \{ x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \to^r x_* \}$$

is called the r-limit set of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be r-convergent if  $LIM^rx \neq \emptyset$ . In this case, r is called the convergence degree of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . For r = 0, we get the ordinary convergence. There are several reasons for this interest (see [10]).

A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , written as  $\mathcal{I}$ -lim x = L, provided that the set

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - L|| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In this case, L is called the  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit of the sequence x.

 $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called a  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  provided that

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| < \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I},$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We denote the set of all  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster points of the sequence x by  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded if there exists a positive real number M such that

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i|| \ge M\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

# 2. Main results

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x_*$ , denoted by  $x_i \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x_*$  provided that

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; or equivalently, if the condition

$$(2.1) \mathcal{I} - \limsup ||x_i - x_*|| \le r$$

is satisfied. In addition, we can write  $x_i \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x_*$  iff the inequality  $||x_i - x_*|| < r + \varepsilon$  holds for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and almost all i.

**Remark 2.2.** If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an admissible ideal, then usual rough convergence implies rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence.

Here r is called the roughness degree. If we take r = 0, then we obtain the ordinary ideal convergence. In a similar fashion to the idea of classic rough convergence, the idea of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of a sequence can be interpreted as follows.

Assume that a sequence  $y = (y_i)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent and cannot be measured or calculated exactly; one has to do with an approximated (or  $\mathcal{I}$  approximated) sequence  $x = (x_i)$  satisfying  $||x_i - y_i|| \le r$  for all i (i.e.,  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_i|| > r\} \in \mathcal{I}$ ). Then the sequence x is not  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent any more, but as the inclusion

$$(2.2) \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||y_i - y_*|| \ge \varepsilon\} \supseteq \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}$$

holds and we have  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||y_i - y_*|| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , we get  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ , i.e., the sequence x is rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent in the sense of Definition 2.1.

In general, the rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit of a sequence may not be unique for the roughness degree r > 0. So we have to consider the so-called rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit set of a sequence  $x = (x_i)$ , which is defined by

$$\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x := \{ x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x_* \}.$$

A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent if  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that if  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  for a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  of real numbers, then we have

(2.3) 
$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = [\mathcal{I} - \limsup x - r, \mathcal{I} - \liminf x + r].$$

We know that  $LIM^r x = \emptyset$  for an unbounded sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . But such a sequence might be rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent. For instance, let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the  $\mathcal{I}_d$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and define

(2.4) 
$$x_i = \begin{cases} \cos i\pi, & \text{if } i \neq k^2 (k \in \mathbb{N}), \\ i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Because the set  $\{1,4,9,16,\ldots\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } r < 1, \\ [1 - r, r - 1], & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $LIM^r x = \emptyset$ , for all  $r \ge 0$ .

As can be seen by the example above, the fact that  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  does not imply  $\text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . Because  $\mathcal{I}$  is a admissible ideal,  $\text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ , i.e., if  $x = (x_i) \in \text{LIM}^r x$  then, by Remark 2.2  $x = (x_i) \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , for each sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . Also, if we define all the rough convergence sequences by  $\text{LIM}^r$  and if we define all the rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence sequences by  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r$ , then we get  $\text{LIM}^r \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r$ . This

obvious fact means

$$\{r \ge 0 : \text{LIM}^r x \ne \emptyset\} \subseteq \{r \ge 0 : \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \ne \emptyset\}$$

in the language of sets and yields immediately

$$\inf\{r \geq 0 : \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset\} \geq \inf\{r \geq 0 : \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset\},\$$

for each  $x = (x_i)$  sequence. Moreover, it also yields directly

$$diam(LIM^r x) \leq diam(\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x).$$

As noted above, we cannot say that the rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit of a sequence is unique for the roughness degree r > 0. The following result is related to the this fact.

**THEOREM 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. For a sequence  $x = (x_i)$ , we have  $diam(\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x) \leq 2r$ . In general,  $diam(\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x)$  has no smaller bound.

**Proof.** Assume that  $diam(\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x) > 2r$ . Then there exist  $y, z \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  such that ||y - z|| > 2r. Take  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{||y - z||}{2} - r)$ . Because  $y, z \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , we have  $A_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $A_2(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where

$$A_1(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \text{ and } A_2(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - z|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}.$$

Using the properties of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , we get

$$(A_1(\varepsilon)^c \cap A_2(\varepsilon)^c) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Thus, we can write

$$||y - z|| \le ||x_i - y|| + ||x_i - z|| < 2(r + \varepsilon) < 2\left(r + \frac{||y - z||}{2} - r\right) = ||y - z||,$$

for all  $i \in A_1(\varepsilon)^c \cap A_2(\varepsilon)^c$ , which is a contradiction.

Now let us prove the second part of the theorem. Consider a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  such that  $\mathcal{I}$ -lim  $x_i = x_*$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, we can write

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Thus, we have

$$||x_i - y|| \le ||x_i - x_*|| + ||x_* - y|| \le ||x_i - x_*|| + r,$$

for each  $y \in \overline{B_r}(x_*) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||y - x_*|| \le r\}$ . Then, we get

$$||x_i - y|| < r + \varepsilon,$$

for each  $i \in \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| < \varepsilon\}$ . Because the sequence x is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x_*$ , we have

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Therefore, we get  $y \in \mathcal{I} - LIM^r x$ . Consequently, we can write

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x = \overline{B_r}(x_*).$$

Because  $diam(\overline{B_r}(x_*)) = 2r$ , this shows that in general, the upper bound 2r of the diameter of the set  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  cannot be decreased anymore.

By [10, Proposition 2.2], there exists a nonnegative real number r such that  $\text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  for a bounded sequence. Because the fact  $\text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ , we have the following result.

**RESULT 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. If a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is bounded, then there exists a nonnegative real number r such that  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ .

The converse implication of the above result is not valid. If we take the sequence as  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded, then the converse of Result 2.1 holds. Thus we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded if and only if there exists a nonnegative real number r such that  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . And also, for all r > 0, an  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded sequence  $x = (x_i)$  always contains a subsequence  $(x_{i_j})$  with  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^{(x_{i_j}),r} x_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Because the sequence x is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded, there exists a positive real number M such that  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_i\| \geq M\} \in \mathcal{I}$ . Define  $r' := \sup\{\|x_i\| : i \in K^c\}$ , where  $K = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_i\| \geq M\}$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^{r'}x$  contains the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . So we have  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^{r'}x \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$  for some  $r \geq 0$ , then there exists  $x_*$  such that  $x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , i.e.,

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we say that almost all  $x_i$ 's are contained in some ball with any radius greater than r. So the sequence x is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded.

As  $(x_i)$  is a  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded sequence in a finite-dimensional normed space, it certainly contains a  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent subsequence  $(x_{i_j})$ . Let  $x_*$  be its  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit point, then  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x_{i_j} = \overline{B}_r(x_*)$  and, for r > 0,

$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^{(x_{i_j}),r} x_{i_j} \neq \emptyset. \blacksquare$$

Also, we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. If  $(x_{i_j})$  is a subsequence of  $(x_i)$ , then

$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x_i \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x_{i_i}$$
.

**Proof.** The proof is trivial (see [10], Proposition 2.3).

Now we give the topological and geometrical properties of the rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit set of a sequence.

**THEOREM 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. The rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit set of a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is closed.

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \emptyset$ , then there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . Then we can choose a sequence  $(y_i) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  such that  $y_i \to y_*$  for  $i \to \infty$ . If we show that  $y_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , then the proof will be complete.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Because  $y_i \to y_*$ , there exists  $i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$||y_i - y_*|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{for all } i > i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Now choose an  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i_0 > i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ . Then we can write

$$||y_{i_0} - y_*|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

On the other hand, because  $(y_i) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , we have  $y_{i_0} \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , namely,

(2.6) 
$$A(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_{i_0}|| \ge r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Now let us show that the inclusion

(2.7) 
$$A^{c}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \subseteq A^{c}(\varepsilon)$$

holds, where  $A(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}$ . Take  $j \in A^c(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ . Then we have

$$||x_j - y_{i_0}|| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and hence

$$||x_i - y_*|| \le ||x_i - y_{i_0}|| + ||y_{i_0} - y_*|| < r + \varepsilon,$$

that is,  $j \in A^c(\varepsilon)$ , which proves (2.7). So, we have

$$A(\varepsilon) \subseteq A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$
.

Because  $A(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \in \mathcal{I}$  by (2.6), we get  $A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$  (i.e.,  $y_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ ), which completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. The rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit set of a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is convex.

**Proof.** Assume that  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  for the sequence  $x = (x_i)$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Define

$$A_1(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_0|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \text{ and } A_2(\varepsilon) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_1|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}.$$

Because  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , we have  $A_1(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $A_2(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Thus we have

$$||x_i - [(1 - \lambda)y_0 + \lambda y_1]|| = ||(1 - \lambda)(x_i - y_0) + \lambda(x_i - y_1)|| < r + \varepsilon,$$

for each  $i \in A_1^c(\varepsilon) \cap A_2^c(\varepsilon)$  and each  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . Because  $(A_1^c(\varepsilon) \cap A_2^c(\varepsilon)) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$  by definition  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I})$ , we get

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - \lceil (1 - \lambda)y_0 + \lambda y_1 \rceil|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

that is,

$$[(1-\lambda)y_0 + \lambda y_1] \in \mathcal{I} - LIM^r x,$$

which proves the convexity of the set  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ .

**THEOREM 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. Suppose r > 0. Then a sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x_*$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $y = (y_i)$  such that

(2.8) 
$$\mathcal{I} - \lim y = x_* \text{ and } ||x_i - y_i|| \le r, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $x = (x_i)$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x_*$ . Then, by (2.1) we have

$$(2.9) \mathcal{I} - \limsup ||x_i - x_*|| \le r.$$

Now, define

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_*, & \text{if } ||x_i - x_*|| \le r, \\ x_i + r \frac{x_* - x_i}{||x_i - x_*||}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$||y_i - x_*|| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } ||x_i - x_*|| \le r, \\ ||x_i - x_*|| - r, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and by definition of  $y_i$ ,

$$(2.10) ||x_i - y_i|| \le r,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (2.9) and the definition of  $y_i$ , we get

$$\mathcal{I} - \limsup \|y_i - x_*\| = 0,$$

which implies that  $\mathcal{I} - \lim y_i = x_*$ .

Assume that (2.8) holds. Because  $\mathcal{I} - \lim y = x_*$ , we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : ||y_i - x_*|| \ge +\varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{I},$$

for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Now, define the set

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon \}.$$

It is easy to see that the inclusion

$$B(\varepsilon)\subseteq A(\varepsilon)$$

holds. Since  $A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$ , we get  $B(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence,  $x = (x_i)$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $x_*$ .

If we replace the condition " $||x_i - y_i|| \le r$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ " in the hypothesis of the above theorem with the condition " $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y_i|| > r\} \in \mathcal{I}$ " then the theorem will also be valid.

Now we give an important property of the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence.

**LEMMA 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. For an arbitrary  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$  of a sequence  $x = (x_i)$ , we have

$$||x_* - c|| \le r \text{ for all } x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x.$$

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that there exist a point  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$  and  $x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  such that  $||x_* - c|| > r$ . Define  $\varepsilon := \frac{||x_* - c|| - r}{3}$ . Then we can write

$$(2.11) \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$ , we have

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| < \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

But from definition of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, since

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

so by (2.11) we have

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I},$$

which contradicts the fact  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$ . On the other hand, if  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$  (i.e.,  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| < \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ ) then

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\}$$

must not belong to  $\mathcal{I}$ , which contradicts the fact  $x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ . This completed the proof of theorem.  $\blacksquare$ 

Now we give two  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence criteria associated with the rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit set.

**THEOREM 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. A sequence  $x = (x_i)$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to  $x_*$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \overline{B}_r(x_*).$$

**Proof.** Since  $x = (x_i)$   $\mathcal{I}$ -converges to  $x_*$ , we have  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \overline{B}_r(x_*)$  by the proof of the Theorem 2.3.

Let  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \overline{B}_r(x_*) \neq \emptyset$ . Then from Theorem 2.4, we have that the sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded. Assume on the contrary that the sequence x has another  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point  $x'_*$  different from  $x_*$ . Then the point

$$\overline{x}_* := x_* + \frac{r}{\|x_* - x_*'\|} (x_* - x_*')$$

satisfies

$$\|\overline{x}_* - x'_*\| = \left(\frac{r}{\|x_* - x'_*\|} + 1\right) \|x_* - x'_*\| = r + \|x_* - x'_*\| > r.$$

Since  $x'_*$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of the sequence x, by Lemma 2.9 this inequality implies that

$$\overline{x}_* \notin \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x.$$

This contradicts with the fact that  $\|\overline{x}_* - x_*\| = r$  and  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \overline{B}_r(x_*)$ . Hence,  $x_*$  is the unique  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of the sequence x as a bounded sequence (by Theorem 2.4) in some finite-dimensional normed space. Consequently, we can say that

$$x_i \rightarrow_{\mathcal{I}} x_*$$
.

It is easy to seen that  $\mathcal{I} - \lim x = x_*$  yields the existence of  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  satisfying  $||y_1 - y_2|| = 2r$ . Because  $\text{LIM}^r x \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , using Phu's example [10, Example 3.2], it can be easily shown that the existence of  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  such that  $||y_1 - y_2|| = 2r$  does not imply the  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . The following result is related to the this converse implication.

**THEOREM 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|.\|)$  be a strictly convex space and  $x = (x_i)$  be a sequence in this space. If there exist  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  such that  $\|y_1 - y_2\| = 2r$ , then this sequence is  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $\frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$ . Then since  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , we have

$$||y_1 - c|| \le r \text{ and } ||y_2 - c|| \le r,$$

by Lemma 2.9. On the other hand, we have

$$(2.13) 2r = ||y_1 - y_2|| \le ||y_1 - c|| + ||y_2 - c||.$$

Therefore, we get  $||y_1 - c|| = ||y_2 - c|| = r$  by inequalities (2.12) and (2.13). Since

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{1}{2}(y_2 - y_1) = \frac{1}{2}[(c - y_1) + (y_2 - c)] \quad \text{and} \quad ||y_1 - y_2|| = 2r,$$

we get  $\|\frac{1}{2}(y_2 - y_1)\| = r$ . By the strict convexity of the space and from the equality (2.14), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}(y_2 - y_1) = c - y_1 = y_2 - c,$$

which implies that  $c = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$ . Hence c is the unique  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . On the other hand, the assumption  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  implies that  $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.4, the sequence x is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded.

Consequently, the sequence  $x = (x_i)$  must  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent to  $\frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim x = \frac{1}{2}(y_1 + y_2). \blacksquare$$

**THEOREM 2.12.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal.

(i) If  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$  then

(2.15) 
$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x \subseteq \overline{B}_r(c).$$

(ii)

(2.16) 
$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)} \overline{B}_r(c) = \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)\}.$$

**Proof.** (i) If  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$  then by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$||x_* - c|| \le r$$
, for all  $x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ ,

otherwise we get

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - x_*|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I}, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon := \frac{||x_* - c|| - r}{3}.$$

Because c is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point of  $(x_i)$ , this contradicts with the fact that  $x_* \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ .

(ii) From (2.15), we have

(2.17) 
$$\mathcal{I} - \operatorname{LIM}^r x \subseteq \bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_r)} \overline{B}_r(c).$$

Now, let  $y \in \bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_r)} \overline{B}_r(c)$ . Then we have

$$||y - c|| \le r,$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)$ , which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(y)$ , i.e.,

(2.18) 
$$\bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)} \overline{B}_r(c) \subseteq \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)\}.$$

Now, let  $y \notin \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ . Then, there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - y|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I},$$

which implies the existence of an  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point c of the sequence x with  $||y-c|| \ge r + \varepsilon$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \nsubseteq \overline{B}_r(y)$$
 and  $y \notin \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)\}.$ 

Hence,  $y \in \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$  follows from  $y \in \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)\}$ , i.e.,

$$(2.19) {x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)} \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x.$$

Therefore, the inclusions (2.17)–(2.19) ensure that (2.16) holds i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x = \bigcap_{c \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)} \overline{B}_r(c) = \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \overline{B}_r(x_*)\}. \blacksquare$$

**EXAMPLE 2.13.** Consider the sequence  $x = (x_i)$  defined in (2.4) and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the  $\mathcal{I}_d$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) = \{-1, 1\}.$$

It follows from (2.16) that

$$\mathcal{I} - LIM^r x = \overline{B}_r(-1) \cap \overline{B}_r(1).$$

We finally complete this work by giving the relation between the set of  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster points and the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence.

**THEOREM 2.14.** Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal and  $x = (x_i)$  be an  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded sequence. If  $r \geq diam(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x))$ , then we have  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \notin \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(2.20) \{i \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_i - c|| \ge r + \varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{I}.$$

Since  $x = (x_i)$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -bounded and from the inequality (2.20), there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster point  $c_1$  such that

$$||c - c_1|| > r + \varepsilon_1,$$

where  $\varepsilon_1 := \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . So we get

$$diam(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x)) > r + \varepsilon_1,$$

which proves the theorem.

The converse of this theorem is also true, i.e., if  $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x) \subseteq \mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x$ , then we have  $r \geq diam(\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_x))$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to the referees for their corrections and suggestions, which have greatly improved the readability of the paper.

#### References

- S. Aytar, Rough statistical convergence, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 29(3-4) (2008), 291-303.
- [2] S. Aytar, The rough limit set and the core of a real requence, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 29(3-4) (2008), 283-290.
- [3] K. Demirci, I-limit superior and limit inferior, Math. Commun. 6 (2001), 165–172.
- [4] H. Fast, Sur la convergenc statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [5] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985), 301–313.
- [6] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat, W. Wilczyński, I-convergence, Real Anal. Exchange 26(2) (2000), 669–686.

- [7] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat, M. Sleziak, I-convergence and extremal I-limit points, Math. Slovaca 55 (2005), 443–464.
- [8] H. I. Miller, A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 1811–1819.
- [9] F. Nuray, W. H. Ruckle, Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245 (2000), 513–527.
- [10] H. X. Phu, Rough convergence in normed linear spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 22 (2001), 199–222.
- [11] H. X. Phu, Rough continuity of linear operators, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 23 (2002), 139–146.
- [12] H. X. Phu, Rough convergence in infinite dimensional normed spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 24 (2003), 285–301.
- [13] T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy, M. Ziman, On I-convergence field, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (2005), 45–54.
- [14] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 139–150.
- [15] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 361–375.

#### E. Dündar

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AFYON KOCATEPE UNIVERSITY 0320-AFYONKARAHISAR, TURKEY

E-mail: erdincdundar79@gmail.com, edundar@aku.edu.tr

#### C. Çakan

INÖNÜ UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF EDUCATION 44280-MALATYA, TURKEY E-mail: ccakan@inonu.edu.tr

Received February 11, 2013; revised version September 3, 2013.

Communicated by Z. Pasternak-Winiarski.