ON ASYMPTOTICALLY IDEAL INVARIANT EQUIVALENCE OF DOUBLE SEQUENCES

ERDİNÇ DÜNDAR, UĞUR ULUSU AND FATİH NURAY

ABSTRACT. In this study, the concepts of asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent, asymptotically invariant equivalent, strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent and p-strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent for double sequences are defined. Also, we investigate relationships among these new type equivalence concepts.

1. Introduction and Background

Let σ be a mapping of the positive integers into themselves. A continuous linear functional ϕ on ℓ_{∞} , the space of real bounded sequences, is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if it satisfies following conditions:

- (1) $\phi(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n,
- (2) $\phi(e) = 1$, where e = (1, 1, 1, ...) and
- (3) $\phi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_n)$ for all $x \in \ell_{\infty}$.

The mappings σ are assumed to be one-to-one and such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m, where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping σ at n. Thus, ϕ extends the limit functional on c, the space of convergent sequences, in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$.

In the case σ is translation mappings $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the σ -mean is often called a Banach limit and the space V_{σ} , the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set of almost convergent sequences \hat{c} . It can be shown that

$$V_{\sigma} = \left\{ x = (x_n) \in \ell_{\infty} : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{\sigma^k(n)} = L, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Several authors have studied invariant convergent sequences (see, [11–15, 19–21, 23–25]). The concept of strongly σ -convergence was defined by Mursaleen in [12]:

A bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly σ -convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L| = 0,$$

uniformly in n. It is denoted by $x_k \to L[V_{\sigma}]$.

By $[V_{\sigma}]$, we denote the set of all strongly σ -convergent sequences.

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 34C41,\ 40A35,\ 40G15.$

Key words and phrases. Asymptotically equivalence, double sequences, statistical convergence, \mathcal{I} -convergence, invariant convergence.

In the case $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, the space $[V_{\sigma}]$ is the set of strongly almost convergent sequences $[\hat{c}]$.

The concept of strongly σ -convergence was generalized by Savaş [20] as below:

$$[V_{\sigma}]_p = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m |x_{\sigma^k(n)} - L|^p = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \right\},$$

where 0 .

If
$$p=1$$
, then $[V_{\sigma}]_p=[V_{\sigma}]$. It is known that $[V_{\sigma}]_p\subset \ell_{\infty}$.

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [6] and studied by many authors. The concept of σ -statistically convergent sequence was introduced by Savaş and Nuray in [23]. The idea of \mathcal{I} -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [8] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal \mathcal{I} of subset of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} . Similar concepts can be seen in [7,14].

A family of sets $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called an ideal if and only if $(i) \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$, (ii) For each $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$, (iii) For each $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and each $B \subseteq A$ we have $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

An ideal is called non-trivial if $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recently, the concepts of σ -uniform density of subset A of the set \mathbb{N} and corresponding \mathcal{I}_{σ} -convergence for real number sequences was introduced by Nuray et al. [14]. Marouf [10] presented definitions for asymptotically equivalent sequences and asymptotic regular matrices. Then, the concept of asymptotically equivalence has been developed by many other researchers (see, [16,17,22]).

Two nonnegative sequences $x=(x_k)$ and $y=(y_k)$ are said to be asymptotically equivalent if $\lim_k \frac{x_k}{y_k} = 1$. It is denoted by $x \sim y$.

Convergence and \mathcal{I} -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and some properties of this convergence, and similar concepts which are noted following can be seen in [1,2,9,18].

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be bounded if $\sup_{k,j} x_{kj} < \infty$. The set of all bounded double sequences of sets will be denoted by ℓ_{∞}^2 .

A nontrivial ideal \mathcal{I}_2 of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible ideal if $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$ belong to \mathcal{I}_2 for each $i \in N$.

It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space and \mathcal{I}_2 be a strongly admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $x = (x_{mn})$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to $L \in X$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, L) \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

It is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} x_{mn} = L$.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and

$$s_{mn} := \min_{k,j} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(k), \sigma(j) \right), \left(\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j) \right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j) \right) \right\} \right|$$

and

$$S_{mn} := \max_{k,j} \left| A \cap \left\{ \left(\sigma(k), \sigma(j) \right), \left(\sigma^2(k), \sigma^2(j) \right), ..., \left(\sigma^m(k), \sigma^n(j) \right) \right\} \right|.$$

If the following limits exists

$$\underline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{s_{mn}}{mn}$$
 and $\overline{V_2}(A) := \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{S_{mn}}{mn}$,

then they are called a lower and an upper σ -uniform density of the set A, respectively. If $\underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$, then $V_2(A) = \underline{V_2}(A) = \overline{V_2}(A)$ is called the σ -uniform density of \overline{A} .

Denote by \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} the class of all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $V_2(A) = 0$.

Throughout the paper we let $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be a strongly admissible ideal.

Dündar et al. [3] studied the concepts of invariant convergence, strongly invariant convergen, p-strongly invariant convergen and ideal invariant convergence of double sequences.

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent or \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(k, j) : |x_{kj} - L| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma},$$

that is, $V_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$. In this case, we write $\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma} - \lim x = L$ or $x_{kj} \to L(\mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma})$.

The set of all \mathcal{I}_2 -invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by \mathfrak{I}_2^{σ} .

A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be strongly invariant convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} \left| x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L \right| = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t. In this case, we write $x_{kj} \to L([V_{\sigma}^2])$. A double sequence $x = (x_{kj})$ is said to be p-strongly invariant convergent to L, if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,j=1,1}^{m,n} |x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^j(t)} - L|^p = 0,$$

uniformly in s, t, where $0 . In this case, we write <math>x_{kj} \to L([V_{\sigma}^2]_p)$.

The set of all p-strongly invariant convergent double sequences will be denoted by $[V_{\sigma}^2]_p$.

Hazarika [4] introduced the notion of asymptotically \mathcal{I} -equivalent sequences and investigated some properties of it. Definitions of P-asymptotically equivalence, asymptotically statistical equivalence and asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2 -equivalence of double sequences were presented by Hazarika and Kumar [5] as following:

Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $x = (y_{kl})$ are said to be P-asymptotically equivalent if

$$P - \lim_{k,l} \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} = 1,$$

denoted by $x \sim^P y$.

Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $x = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically statistical equivalent of multiple L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ k \le m, l \le n : \left| \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L \right| \right\} \right| = 0,$$

denoted by $x \sim^{\mathcal{S}^L} y$ and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent if L = 1.

Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $x = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2 -equivalent of multiple L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left\{ (k,l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \left| \frac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

denoted by $x \sim^{\mathcal{I}^L} y$ and simply asymptotically statistical equivalent if L = 1.

2. Asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -Equivalence

In this section, the concepts of asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent, asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent, strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent and p-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent for double sequences are defined. Also, we investigate relationships among these new type equivalence concepts.

Definition 2.1. Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically invariant equivalent or asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} = L,$$

uniformly in s,t. In this case, we write $x \stackrel{V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$ and simply σ_2 -asymptotically equivalent, if L=1.

Definition 2.2. Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$A_{arepsilon} := \left\{ (k,l) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N} : \left| rac{x_{kl}}{y_{kl}} - L
ight| \geq arepsilon
ight\} \in \mathcal{I}_2^{\sigma},$$

i.e., $V_2(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. In this case, we write $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$ and simply asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent, if L = 1.

The set of all asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^σ -equivalent of multiple L sequences will be denoted by $\mathfrak{I}_{2(L)}^\sigma.$

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are bounded double sequences. If x and y are asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L, then these sequences are σ_2 -asymptotically equivalent of multiple L.

Proof. Let $m, n, s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary and $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, we calculate

$$u(m, n, s, t) := \left| \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k, l=1, 1}^{m, n} \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|.$$

We have

$$u(m, n, s, t) \le u^{(1)}(m, n, s, t) + u^{(2)}(m, n, s, t),$$

where

$$u^{(1)}(m, n, s, t) := \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k, l = 1, 1 \\ \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \ge \varepsilon}} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s), \sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|$$

and

$$u^{(2)}(m,n,s,t) := \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{\substack{k,l=1,1\\ \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L\right| < \varepsilon}}^{m,n} \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L\right|.$$

We get $u^{(2)}(m, n, s, t) < \varepsilon$, for every s, t = 1, 2, The boundedness of $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ implies that there exists a M > 0 such that

$$\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \le M,$$

for $k,l=1,2,...,\ s,t=1,2,...$. Then, this implies that

hence x and y are σ_2 -asymptotically equivalent to multiple L.

$$u^{(1)}(m,n,s,t) \leq \frac{M}{mn} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, \ 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq M \frac{\max\left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, \ 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{mn} = M \frac{S_{mn}}{mn},$$

The converse of Theorem 2.3 does not hold. For example, $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are the double sequences defined by following;

$$x_{kl} := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{,} & \text{if } k+l \text{ is an even integer,} \\ 0 & \text{,} & \text{if } k+l \text{ is an odd integer.} \end{cases}$$

$$y_{kl} := 1$$

When $\sigma(m) = m + 1$ and $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, this sequences are asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent but they are not asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent.

Definition 2.4. Two nonnegative double sequence $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent or strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n}\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}-L\right|=0,$$

uniformly in s, t. In this case, we write $x \stackrel{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]}{\sim} y$ and simply strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent if L = 1.

Definition 2.5. Let $0 . Two nonnegative double sequence <math>x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be *p*-strongly asymptotically invariant equivalent or *p*-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L if

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n}\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}-L\right|^p=0,$$

uniformly in s,t. In this case, we write $x \stackrel{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p}{\sim} y$ and simply p-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent if L=1.

The set of all p-strongly asymptotically σ_2 -equivalent of multiple L sequences will be denoted by $[\mathcal{V}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p$.

 $\textbf{Theorem 2.6. } \ Let \ 0$

Proof. Let $x \stackrel{[\mathcal{V}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p}{\sim} y$ and given $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for every $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \geq \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p$$

$$\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon$$

$$\geq \varepsilon^p \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

$$\geq \varepsilon^p \max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

and

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p & \geq & \varepsilon^p \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{mn} \\ & = & \varepsilon^p \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} \end{split}$$

for every $s,t=1,2,\ldots$. This implies $\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{S_{mn}}{mn}=0$ and so $x\stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim}y$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 and <math>x, y \in \ell_{\infty}^2$. Then, $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y \Rightarrow x \stackrel{[V_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p}{\sim} y$.

Proof. Suppose that $x, y \in \ell_{\infty}^2$ and $x \stackrel{\mathcal{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, we have $V_2(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. The boundedness of x and y implies that there exists a M > 0 such that

$$\left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \le M$$

for k, l = 1, 2, ..., s, t = 1, 2, ... Observe that, for every $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p &= \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ & \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \\ &+ \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right|^p \\ & \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| < \varepsilon \\ &\leq M \frac{\max_{s,t} \left| \left\{ 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n : \left| \frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}} - L \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{mn} + \varepsilon^p \\ &\leq M \frac{S_{mn}}{mn} + \varepsilon^p. \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n}\left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}-L\right|^p=0$$

uniformly in s, t.

Theorem 2.8. Let $0 . Then, <math>\mathfrak{I}_{2(L)}^{\sigma} \cap \ell_{\infty}^2 = [\mathcal{V}_{2(L)}^{\sigma}]_p \cap \ell_{\infty}^2$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7. \Box

Now we give definition of asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent for double sequences and we shall state a theorem that gives a relationship between asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalence and asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalence of double sequences.

Definition 2.9. Two nonnegative double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are said to be asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty}\frac{1}{mn}\left|\left\{k\le m,l\le n: \left|\frac{x_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}{y_{\sigma^k(s),\sigma^l(t)}}-L\right|\ge\varepsilon\right\}\right|=0,$$

uniformly in s, t = 1, 2, ..., (denoted by $x \stackrel{S_{2(L)}^{\sigma}}{\sim} y$) and simply asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent, if L = 1.

Theorem 2.10. The double sequences $x = (x_{kl})$ and $y = (y_{kl})$ are asymptotically \mathcal{I}_2^{σ} -equivalent to multiple L if and only if they are asymptotically S_2^{σ} -equivalent of multiple L.

REFERENCES

- P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński and P. Malik, I and I*-convergence of double sequences, Math. Slovaca, 58(5) (2008), 605620.
- [2] E. Dündar and B. Altay, \$\mathcal{I}_2\$-convergence and \$\mathcal{I}_2\$-Cauchy of double sequences, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 34B(2) (2014), 343353.

- [3] E. Dündar, U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, On ideal invariant convergence of double sequences and some properties, Creative Mathematics and Informatics, 27(2) (2018), (in press).
- [4] B. Hazarika, On asymptotically ideal equivalent sequences, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, 23 (2015), 67–72.
- [5] B. Hazarika, V. Kumar, On asymptotically double lacunary statistical equivalent sequences in ideal context, Journal of Inequlities and Applications, 2013:543 (2013), 1–15.
- [6] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math., 2 (1951), 241–244.
- [7] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Šalát and M. Sleziak, *I-Convergence and External I-limits points*, Math. Slovaca, 55 (2005), 443–464.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczyński, *I-Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000), 669–686.
- [9] V. Kumar, On \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^* -convergence of double sequences, Math. Commun. 12 (2007), 171–181.
- [10] M. Marouf, Asymptotic equivalence and summability, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 16(4) (1993), 755–762.
- [11] M. Mursaleen, On finite matrices and invariant means, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1979), 457–460.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces, Houston J. Math., 9 (1983), 505–509.
- [13] M. Mursaleen and O. H. H. Edely, On the invariant mean and statistical convergence, Appl. Math. Lett., 22(11) (2009), 1700–1704.
- [14] F. Nuray, H. Gök and U. Ulusu, I_σ-convergence, Math. Commun., 16 (2011), 531–538.
- [15] F. Nuray and E. Savaş, Invariant statistical convergence and A-invariant statistical convergence, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25(3) (1994), 267–274.
- [16] R. F. Patterson, On asymptotically statistically equivalent sequences, Demostratio Mathematica, 36(1) (2003), 149-153.
- [17] R. F. Patterson and E. Savaş, On asymptotically lacunary statistically equivalent sequences, Thai J. Math., 4(2) (2006), 267-272.
- [18] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann., 53 (1900), 289321.
- [19] R. A. Raimi, Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability, Duke Math. J., 30(1) (1963), 81–94.
- [20] E. Savaş, Some sequence spaces involving invariant means, Indian J. Math., 31 (1989), 1–8.
- [21] E. Savas, Strongly σ-convergent sequences, Bull. Calcutta Math., 81 (1989), 295–300.
- [22] E. Savas, On *I-asymptotically lacunary statistical equivalent sequences*, Adv. Difference Equ., 2013:111 (2013), 7 pages. doi:10.1186/1687-1847-2013-111
- [23] E. Savaş and F. Nuray, On σ-statistically convergence and lacunary σ-statistically convergence, Math. Slovaca, 43(3) (1993), 309–315.
- [24] P. Schaefer, Infinite matrices and invariant means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1972), 104–110.
- [25] U. Ulusu, Asymptotically ideal invariant equivalence, Creative Mathematics and Informatics, 27(2) (2018), (in press).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Afyon Kocatepe University, 03200, Afyonkarahisar, Turkey

E-mail address: edundar@aku.edu.tr, ulusu@aku.edu.tr, fnuray@aku.edu.tr